

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

ARB-57-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 15.09.2017

Anil Kumar Singhal

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Raheja Developers Limited

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present:- Mr. Abhay K. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Dalal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J. (ORAL)

CM-19651-CII-2017

Leave is granted to place on record the short reply
alongwith Annexure R-1 filed on behalf of the respondent and
the application is allowed subject to all just exceptions.

ARB-57-2017

The amended memo of parties filed by the petitioner
in Court today is taken on record.

This is a petition under Section 11(6) of the
Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the appointment of
an arbitrator.

2. The petition is filed in respect of two agreements.
Hence, there must be separate references.

3. The respondent has no objection to the appointment of
an arbitrator, but in respect of the disputes between the newly
added petitioner, namely, M/s Pashupati Nath Universal (P) Ltd.
and the respondent.

4. The petition is, therefore, disposed of by appointing Mr. Justice R.C. Gupta, former Judge of the Delhi High Court, as the sole arbitrator in respect of the disputes and differences between the newly added petitioner and the respondent regarding each agreement. It is clarified that although the arbitrator is common, the references would be separate and separate awards shall be passed in respect of the disputes relating to each agreement.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

15.09.2017
Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No