

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDI GARH

C.M. No. 23945-CI-2017 and
Arbitration Case No. 28 of 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 15.12.2017

Bhoodhan Marketing Private Limited Petitioner
versus

Haryana State Agricultural Marketing Board and another
..... Respondents

CORAM - HONBLE MR. JUSTICE S. J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Ramish Puri, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate for the respondents
..

S. J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

During the pendency of this petition, the respondents have appointed an arbitrator. The petitioner has no objection to the Arbitrator. The petitioner, however, states that it would be more convenient if the hearing is held in Hisar instead of Panchkula.

2. The Arbitrator can always decide where to sit in accordance with law including the arbitration agreement. An application for the same may be made by the parties before the learned Arbitrator.

3. The civil miscellaneous application and the main petition are accordingly disposed of.

15.12.2017
parkash*

(S. J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

NOTE:

Whether speaking/ non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/ NO