

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF DECEMBER,
TWO THOUSAND AND SEVENTEEN

:PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE SHAMEEM AKTHER

CRLP .NO:11694 of 2017

Between:

Jyothi Krihsna Sanjevi, S/o. Mohan Rao Sanjeevi,
..... Petitioner/Accused
AND

The State of Telangana Rep., by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court Buildings, Hyderabad.
.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C, praying that in the circumstances stated in the memo of grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to enlarge the Petitioner/Accused on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime FIR No. 1181/2017, dated 14-11-2017 on the file of Madhapur Police Station, Cyberabad, Hyderabad.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the memorandum of grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri S Chakrapani, Advocate for the Petitioner, and of Additional Public Prosecutor (TG) for Respondent, the Court made the following.

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition, under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short, 'Cr.P.C.'), is filed by the petitioner/accused, for grant of anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.1181 of 2017 on the file of Madhapur (Guttala) Police Station, Cyberabad, Hyderabad, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 408, 420 and 506 I.P.C.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner/accused, learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the respondent-State and perused the record.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner/accused would submit that the issues are trivial in nature; unnecessarily this report is lodged and the petitioner/accused is harassed and ultimately, prayed to allow the application.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner/accused.

5. The material on record reveals that the petitioner was an employee of Wise Men Consulting Service India LLP, Madhapur, Hyderabad. He resigned the service. Thereafter, he did not return the company assets such as laptop, data card etc. It is also alleged that the petitioner/accused is blaming the company alleging financial mismanagement, such as payment of TDS to I.T. Department etc. The company wanted to sort out the dues. The petitioner did not turn up.

6. Having regard to the nature of allegations and the material placed before the Court, the petitioner/accused can be enlarged on bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

7. In the result, the petitioner/accused is directed to surrender before the Station House Officer, Madhapur (Guttala) Police Station, Cyberabad, within a period of fifteen days from the date of this order. On such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioner/accused on bail on his executing a personal bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the said Station House Officer. On release, the petitioner/accused shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and co-operate with the Investigating

Officer. Further, the petitioner/accused shall appear before the Station House Officer, Madhapur (Guttala) Police Station, Cyberabad, on every Sunday between 08:00 AM and 09:00 AM till filing of the charge sheet.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1. The XXV Metropolitan Magistrate, Miyapur, Kukatpally, R.R. District
2. The Station House officer, Madhapur (Guttala) Police Station, Cyberabad, Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor (TG), High Court, Hyderabad (OUT)
4. One CC to Sri S Chakrapani, Advocate (OPUC)
5. One spare copy

Skm



HIGH COURT

DR.SA,J

Drafted by: skm
Drafted on: 6-12-2017

DATE: 05-12-2017



ORDER

CRL.P. No. 11694 sof 2017

DIRECTION