

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T.RAJANI

FAMILY COURT APPEAL No.137 of 2016

16.02.2017

Between:

Smt.B.Kshitija Rekha

..Appellant

and

M.Ramesh

..Respondent

Counsel for the appellant: Mr.V.Surendra Reddy

Counsel for the respondent: Mr.Pasam Srinivasa Reddy

The Court made the following:



JUDGMENT: (Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy)

This Family Court Appeal arises out of the order and decree, dated 21.03.2016, in O.P.No.1751 of 2010, on the file of the Judge, Family Court, Hyderabad, whereby the learned Judge granted decree of divorce by dissolving the marriage between the appellant and the respondent.

2. On the initiative taken by this Court, both the parties have been personally present before the Court on two occasions and were persuaded to settle the dispute amicably. Accordingly, the appellant has agreed for dismissal of the appeal by receiving a sum of Rs.12,00,000/- from the respondent. The respondent has also agreed to return one gold chain, one gold ring, one gold bracelet and silver items, which were presented to him by the parents of the appellant at the time of the marriage. He has further agreed that the appellant need not return certain gold ornaments which were presented to her by him at the time of the marriage. He has requested for two months time from today for payment of Rs.12,00,000/- as full and final settlement of all the claims of the appellant and the minor child. The appellant has agreed for the said request. The respondent has stated that he will return the aforementioned items of gold and silver along with the cash of Rs.12,00,000/- (Rupees twelve lakhs only) to his counsel, who, in turn, will handover the same to the learned counsel for the appellant within the aforementioned time.

3. Having regard to the aforementioned agreement between the parties, the Family Court Appeal is dismissed, however, with the direction that the appeal shall stand restored, if the respondent does not comply with any of the aforementioned conditions within the stipulated time.

Liberty is given to the learned counsel for the appellant to address a letter to the Registry for such restoration, after expiry of the stipulated time.

4. As a sequel to dismissal of the F.C.A., interim order, dated 01.09.2016, in F.C.A.M.P.No.333 of 2016 is vacate and F.C.A.M.P.Nos.332, 333, 408 and 409 of 2016 shall stand dismissed as infructuous.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

T.RAJANI, J

16th February, 2017
GHN

