

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY
AND
THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE KONGARA VIJAYA LAKSHMI

WRIT PETITION No.31256 of 2017

05.10.2017

Between:

G.Hanumantha Rao

..Petitioner

and

The State of Andhra Pradesh,
represented by its Principal Secretary,
Panchayat Raj and Rural Development Department,
Guntur and another

..Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr.T.V.S.Kumar

Counsel for the respondents: Government Pleader for Services (A.P.)

The Court made the following:



ORDER: (Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy)

This writ petition is filed for a *certiorari* to quash order, dated 16.03.2017, in O.A.No.1359 of 2013 on the file of the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad (for short 'the Act').

2. Orders, dated 15.09.2017 and 03.10.2017 passed by us are self-explanatory and instead of repeating the contents of those orders, it is directed that the same must be treated as part of this order. The Chief Secretary of the State of Andhra Pradesh has filed his personal affidavit. In paragraphs 3 and 4 thereof, he has stated as under:

“3. Since this is a general rule applicable to all services, the A.P. State & Subordinate Service Rules need to be amended in the first instance. Accordingly, the Women, Child, Disabled & Senior Citizen Department in consultation with Law and General Administration Departments has already moved a file proposing amendment to A.P. State & Subordinate Service Rules providing 3% reservation for Physically Handicapped employees. The connected file has already been circulated, return of which is awaited. Soon after receipt of the orders on this issue, appropriate amendment to the A.P. State & Subordinate Service Rules will be issued.

4. In view of the reasons stated above, it is prayed that this Hon'ble High Court may please accord atleast three months time or to pass such order or orders as deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”

3. Though this Court feels that unreasonably long time has been taken by the State Government to carry out the amendment, as the Chief Secretary has undertaken to get the Rule amended within three months, time, as requested by him, is granted, leaving the petitioner free to approach this Court in the event the respondents do not adhere to the time limit in bringing out the required amendment.

4. Subject to the above, the Writ Petition is disposed of.
5. As a sequel to disposal of the writ petition, W.P.M.P.No.38937 of 2017 filed by the petitioner for interim relief shall stand disposed of as infructuous.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

KONGARA VIJAYA LAKSHMI, J

05th October, 2017
GHN

