

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN

Civil Revision Petition No.202 of 2017

Order:

Aggrieved by the refusal of the Court below to appoint an Advocate Commissioner to note down the physical features, the defendant has come up with the present civil revision petition.

2. Heard Mr. Penumaka Venkata Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The suit was filed for bare injunction. The evidence on the side of the plaintiff was already over and in the course of evidence on the side of the defendant, the defendant filed an application for appointment of an Advocate Commissioner, on the sole ground that he is keeping certain items in the suit property. In other words, what the petitioner wants is to collect evidence, which is not permissible.

4. Hence, the revision is dismissed. The miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this revision shall stand closed.
No costs.

V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN, J.

24th March, 2017.
Ak

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN

Civil Revision Petition No.202 of 2017



24th March, 2017.
(Ak)