

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GUDI SEVA SHYAM PRASAD

WRIT PETITION No.6688 of 2017

02.08.2017

Between:

M.Gangaram and others

..Petitioners

and

The State of Telangana,
represented by the Principal Secretary,
Education Department, Telangana Secretariat,
Hyderabad and another

..Respondents

Counsel for the petitioners: Mr.Ch.Ganesh

Counsel for the respondents: Government Pleader for Services-I (TS)

The Court made the following:



ORDER: (Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy)

This writ petition is filed feeling aggrieved by the purported inaction of the respondents in implementing order, dated 23.02.2016, in O.A.No.4879 of 2015 on the file of the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad (for short 'the Tribunal'), whereby the Tribunal has directed the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners for regularization of their services.

2. Today, at the hearing, the learned Government Pleader for Services-I (TS) appearing for the respondents has placed before the Court three separate but identical proceedings, dated 29.07.2017, whereby the Chief Executive Officer of Zilla Praja Parishad, Karimnagar, has rejected the claims of petitioner Nos.2 to 4 for regularization. As regards petitioner No.1, the learned Government Pleader has submitted that his case was favourably considered for regularization and that the Chief Executive Officer has sent the proposals for approval of the Finance Department.

3. Mr.Ch.Ganesh, learned counsel for the petitioners, has submitted that the aforementioned three proceedings, dated 29.07.2017, do not conform to the spirit of the order of the Tribunal.

4. Since the scope of the present writ petition is limited to the implementation of the order of the Tribunal, which as noted above has directed the respondents to consider the claims of the petitioners for regularization and such consideration having been made and separate proceedings having been issued, the validity or otherwise of these proceedings cannot be the subject matter of this writ petition. If petitioner Nos.2 to 4 feel aggrieved by proceedings, dated 29.07.2017, they shall be free to assail the same by instituting separate proceedings. As regards petitioner No.1, the submission of the learned Government Pleader that his claim was favourably considered and that the approval of the Finance

Department is awaited is placed on record and he (petitioner No.1) is given similar liberty as above, if proceedings of regularization are not issued within one month from today.

5. The Writ Petition, accordingly, stands disposed of.

6. As a sequel to disposal of the writ petition, W.P.M.P.No.8213 of 2017 filed by the petitioners for interim relief shall stand dismissed as infructuous.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

GUDI SEVA SHYAM PRASAD, J

02nd August, 2017
GHN

