

The Hon'ble Sri Justice V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN  
and  
The Hon'ble Sri Justice M. GANGA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.24336 OF 2017

Date:19.12.2017

Between:

M. Srinivas Rao S/o.M. Narsing Rao,  
Aged about 48 years, Occ:Daily Wage Superior  
Field worker, Sub Unit-2, Sultan Bazaar, Hyderabad  
and others.

...Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal  
Secretary to Government, Medical, Health & Family  
Welfare Department, Telangana Secretariat,  
Hyderabad and others.

... Respondents

For Petitioners : M/s.CH. Ganesh

For Respondents : G.P. for Services (TG)



HON' BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN

AND

HON' BLE SRI JUSTICE M. GANGA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.24336 OF 2017

ORDER: (per V. Ramasubramanian, J)

The petitioners have come up with the above writ petition seeking implementation of the orders passed by the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal way back in March, 2001.

2. Heard Mr.Ch. Ganesh, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and learned Government Pleader for Services (TG), appearing for the respondents.

3. The order sought to be implemented is of the Tribunal dated 07.03.2001. The State of Telangana withdrew itself from the jurisdiction of the Administrative Tribunal, only in the year 2016.

4. Therefore, for a full period of 15 years, namely, from 2001 to 2016, the petitioners had a right to approach the Tribunal either for reliefs or for contempt. The limitation for filing a contempt petition before the Tribunal expired long before the Government withdrew from the jurisdiction of the Tribunal. Therefore, the writ petition cannot be entertained.

5. However, it is contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners that there has been repeated correspondence between the authorities for the implementation of the order of the Tribunal. If that is so, they may give a fresh cause of action for the petitioners to seek reliefs, but not to come up with a writ petition to enforce the orders of the Tribunal.

6. Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed, leaving it open to the petitioners to work out the remedies available in law.

7. As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

---

V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN, J

---

M. GANGA RAO, J

December 19, 2017  
KTL

