

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. SHANKAR NARAYANA

**Crl.P.M.P.Nos.965 and 966 OF 2017
IN/AND
CRIMINAL PETITION No.1000 OF 2017**

COMMON ORDER:

The present Criminal Petition, under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is filed by the petitioner – Accused seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.158 of 2016 on the file of XV Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Hyderabad, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 498-A, 495, 420 and 506 of IPC and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

2. Crl.P.M.P.No.965 of 2017 in Crl.P.No.1000 of 2017 is filed by petitioner-Accused seeking permission of the Court to compound the aforesaid offences, and Crl.P.M.P.No.966 of 2017 in Crl.P.No.1000 of 2017 is filed by respondent No.2 – defacto-complainant to quash the proceedings in the above C.C.No.158 of 2016 in view of the compromise entered between the parties.

3. Both parties as well as their learned counsel are present before this Court and the parties are identified by their respective learned counsel Sri B. Shankar and Sri P.V. Santosh Murthy. The parties have also produced photostat copies of their respective “Aadhaar Cards” in proof of their identity.

4. Perused the affidavit filed by respondent No.2 – defacto-complainant and also the Joint Memo signed by both the parties filed along with the compromise petition. The petitioner and respondent No.2 - *de facto* complainant entered into compromise concerning the aforesaid offences.

5. On being enquired, the *de facto* complainant expressed that he has no grievance against the petitioner.

6. Since both the parties have entered into compromise and affirmed the contents of affidavit and the Joint Memo filed in support of the aforesaid compromise petition, compromise is recorded as the dispute falls within the guidelines laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in GIAN SINGH V. STATE OF PUNJAB¹.

7. In view of the above circumstances, Crl.P.M.P.Nos.965 and 966 of 2017 are allowed and, consequently, the present Criminal Petition i.e., Crl.P.No.1000 of 2017 is allowed quashing the proceedings against the petitioner – Accused in C.C.No.158 of 2016 on the file of XV Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate at Hyderabad. The affidavit filed by respondent No.2 and the Joint Memo filed by both the parties shall form part of the record.

¹. (2012) 10 SCC 303

8. As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

A. SHANKAR NARAYANA, J

13.04.2017.
Msr



HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. SHANKAR NARAYANA

Crl.P.M.P.Nos.965 and 966 OF 2017
IN/AND
CRIMINAL PETITION No.1000 OF 2017



13.04.2017
Msr