

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.12652 OF 2010

Date: 14.11.2017

Between:

Ch. Praveen Kumar S/o Prasad, Aged about 25 years,
Working as Full Time Guest Junior Lecturer in Zoology,
A.P.Tribal Welfare Residential College (Boys), Seethampeta,
Srikakularm district and 79 others.

.....Petitioners

and

The Government of A.P., rep.by its Special Chief Secretary,
Tribal Welfare Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

.....Respondents



The Court made the following:

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.12652 OF 2010

ORDER:

Eighty petitioners filed this writ petition aggrieved by the alleged inaction of the respondents in not regularizing their services and trying to replace the petitioners with temporary Teachers/Lecturers is illegal and arbitrary. According to the petitioners, they have been working as Guest Teachers/Lecturers under the respondent-Tribal Welfare Residential Educational Institutional Society and without regularizing their services, there is an attempt being made to induct outsiders.

2. When the matter is taken up for consideration, learned counsel for petitioners submits that as of now, only seven petitioners are working i.e., petitioner Nos.8, 11, 17, 18, 22, 25 and 27 and others are not working. According to learned counsel, even these seven petitioners were later treated as Part-time Teachers/Lecturers and now treated as Outsourcing Teachers/Lecturers.

3. According to the learned counsel for petitioners, petitioners have been working against regular vacancies; they are qualified and eligible and their services are required on regular basis and thus, not regularizing services of these seven petitioners and treating them initially on Part-time basis and subsequently on Outsourcing is illegal.

4. In the counter-affidavit filed on behalf of respondents, contention of the petitioners that employment was granted in their

favour is denied. According to them, there was delay in recruitment process and as and when there is requirement, these persons were engaged and such employment was for the academic year, treating each academic year as independent unit. According to the respondents, there is no scheme of regularization.

5. Having regard to the fact that only seven petitioners are working and even these seven petitioners have been working presently on outsourcing basis, this Court is not inclined to go into the merits of the contentions urged. Thus, leaving it open to these seven petitioners, if at all they are continuing in service, to make representations ventilating their grievances and/or to request payment of appropriate pay and allowances, writ petition is disposed of.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

Date: 14.11.2017
kkm

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO



WRIT PETITION NO.12652 OF 2010

Date: 14.11.2017

kkm