

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.SHANKAR NARAYANA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE No.1059 of 2005

ORDER:

By order dated 14.06.2005 in M.P.No.40 of 2005 in M.C.No.17 of 2005, the learned Judge, Family Court, Secunderabad, while declining to grant a sum of Rs.30,000/- to meet the expenses of petitioner No.2 for admission in Delhi Public School, awarded interim maintenance of Rs.1,500/- per month to the petitioner No.1 and Rs.1,000/- per month to the petitioner No.2 and directed the respondent to pay the same. Aggrieved over the same, the respondent, who is the husband of petitioner No.1, preferred the present Criminal Revision Case.

Sri D.V.N. Acharya, learned counsel for the revision petitioner, would fairly submit that the cause in the aforesaid M.C, in fact, does not survive and he has been under the impression that the present revision case was disposed of at the time of admission on 07.07.2005.

A perusal of the proceeding sheet shows that, in fact, on 12.07.2005, a clarification was made by this Court that the order pronounced on 07.07.2005 is confined to CrI.M.P.No.1320 of 2005 and the Registry was directed to list the main matter for hearing on future date, having admitted the revision case.

Even looking at merits, there is nothing in the grounds of appeal either tangible or concrete to set aside the order under challenge. The amounts awarded by the Court below towards interim

maintenance at the rate of Rs.1,500/- and Rs.1,000/- in the year 2005, cannot be construed as excessive or arbitrary. Thus, on merits also, there is no case for the revision petitioner.

Hence, the present Criminal Revision Case is dismissed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending in the revision case, stand closed.

JUSTICE A.SHANKAR NARAYANA

10.11.2017
v v

