

***THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO***

**WRIT PETITION No.10740 OF 2017**

Dated:27.03.2017

Between:

G. Srinivasa Chary, S/o. G. Ramulu,  
Aged 48 years, Occ: Conductor,  
E.No.201659, R/o. H.No.10-3-75/1,  
Near Post Office, Lingoiguda,  
Saroornagar, Hyderabad

.. Petitioner

And

T.S.R.T.C., rep., by its Regional  
Manager, Hyderabad Region, MGBS,  
Hyderabad and another

.. Respondents



**The Court made the following:**

***THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO***

**WRIT PETITION No.10740 OF 2017**

**ORDER:**

Petitioner was earlier terminated from service. Aggrieved thereby, he raised Industrial Dispute. The Labour Court, by award dated 24.09.2009, held the said termination of the petitioner as illegal and directed his reinstatement with continuity of service and also 50% of the back wages. The respondent – Corporation was unsuccessful on a challenge made against the said award in W.P.No.6343 of 2010. The Writ Petition was dismissed on 07.01.2016. The petitioner submitted representation on 04.12.2016 praying to fix his pay by adding annual increments from the date of termination to the date of reinstatement.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled to the benefits as claimed in the Writ Petition and though representation dated 04.12.2016 was submitted, so far no decision is taken on the said representation.

3. Learned Standing Counsel for the respondent – Corporation submits that if a fresh representation is submitted by the petitioner, the respondent – Corporation would consider the same.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the said suggestion.

5. Having regard to the said submission, the Writ Petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to submit a detailed representation before the Regional Manager, Hyderabad, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent, ventilating his grievance and as and when such

representation is submitted, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent shall examine the same and pass appropriate orders as warranted by law by assigning due reasons in support of the said decision, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioner is also entitled to place reliance on the decisions rendered by the Supreme Court on the issue. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Writ Petition shall stand closed.

Date:27.03.2017

KH

---

**P. NAVEEN RAO, J**

