

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.5717 of 2017

ORDER:

The case of the petitioner is that his father purchased the land to an extent of Ac.0.11 cents in RS.No.404/11 of Reddygudem Village and Mandal, Krishna District by way of registered sale deed vide Doc.No.43/1995, dated 18.01.1995 on payment of sale consideration of Rs.43,000/-. From the date of registration, petitioner's father is in possession and enjoyment of the subject land in question. While so, petitioner's father expired on 05.12.2011, leaving behind him, his legal heirs. Thereafter, the 3rd respondent entered the name of the 4th respondent in respect of the subject land basing on a fake document submitted by the 4th respondent as if he purchased the property from petitioner's father, without issuing any notice to the petitioner. Thereafter, immediately, the petitioner filed a representation dated 28.12.2015 before the 2nd respondent seeking to conduct enquiry, correct the revenue entries and to restore the name of the petitioner's father. But, as no action has been taken by the respondents, the petitioner again filed representation dated 17.01.2017 to the 3rd respondent and also filed a representation before the 2nd respondent on 28.01.2017. But, till today, no action has been taken by the respondents on the said representations. Aggrieved by the same, present writ petition is filed.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

In the writ affidavit it is not disclosed that either the name of the father of the petitioner or the name of the petitioner is entered in revenue records or when petitioner's name is deleted. The 3rd respondent cannot alter the name in revenue records beyond one year. Moreso, it is stated that the 4th respondent filed OS.No.194 of 2012 against the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate revision before the Joint Collector against any entries made in favour of 4th respondent. No order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition, shall stand closed.

A.RAJASHEKER REDDY, J

17.02.2017
tk