

THE HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN
AND
THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.RAJANI

Writ Appeal Nos.510, 779, 781, 784, 789, 791, 792, 793, 795, 797, 798 & 799 of 2013

COMMON JUDGMENT: {Per the Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice Ramesh Ranganathan}

These writ appeals, under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent, are preferred against the order passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.Nos.4968, 4700, 6388, 6386, 5526, 4663, 5122, 5275, 5116, 4504, 4970 and 4950 of 2013 dated 20.03.2013. In a batch of writ petitions, i.e., W.P.No.4968 of 2013 and batch, the learned Single Judge held that, as interim orders were granted during 16.02.2013 to 26.02.2013 to include the names of the petitioner-institutions, counseling was done till 08.02.2013 and admissions were given till 28.02.2013; in the past, in similar matters, institutions were permitted to make spot admissions, and they were required to complete the number of working days by working extra hours on working days, on public holidays and also in summer vacation; and there was no valid reason why the Government should not extend the same benefit to the petitioner-institutions, more so, as huge investments had gone into in creating infrastructure by the petitioner-institutions, and it would be unfair to ask them to wait for one more year. The Writ Petitions were allowed, and directions were issued to the 1st respondent to accord affiliation to the petitioner-institutions to run D.Ed course to enable them to admit students for the academic year 2012-13.

When the matters came up on 05.06.2013, the Division Bench held that admission of students to respondent-colleges, and the completion of their course, shall be subject to the result of the Writ Appeals.

As the D.Ed course is only for a period of one year, and the order of the learned Single Judge directing grant of affiliation for the academic

year 2012-13 would have resulted in the students, studying in the colleges, having completed their course more than four years ago, it is evident that the cause in the Writ Appeals does not survive necessitating any further adjudication by this Court.

The Writ Appeals are, accordingly, dismissed. Suffice it to make it clear that we have not made any observations on merits, and dismissal of the writ appeals may not be construed as an affirmation of the order of the learned Single Judge. Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall also stand dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(RAMESH RANGANATHAN, ACJ)

(T.RAJANI, J)

12th June, 2017
JSU



**THE HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN
AND
THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.RAJANI**



Writ Appeal Nos.510, 779, 781, 784, 789, 791, 792, 793, 795, 797, 798 & 799 of 2013

Date: 12.06.2017

JSU