

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

* HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
* HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

+ W.P.NO.29195 of 2017

% Date: 25-10-2017

Between:

1. Kum. Mehanaz Kousar, D/o. Mehataz Begum,
2. Smt. Mehataz Begum, W/o. Kareem Baig, both are R/o. D.No.15-271, Medharabazar, Chilakaluripeta, Guntur District.

.... Petitioners

And

1. The Union of India rep. by its Secretary, Govt. of India, Medical and Health Department, New Delhi.
2. The Medical Council of India, Govt. of India, MBBS-2017, Pocket-14, Sector-8, Dwarka, New Delhi, Rep. by its Secretary.
3. The Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE), NEET – 2017, rep. by its Chairman, Shiksha Kendra, 2-Community Centre, Preet Vihar, Delhi – 110 092.
4. NTR University of Medical Sciences, Vijayawada, Krishna District, A.P. rep. by its Registrar.
5. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary (Revenue Department) Secretariat Buildings at Velagapudi, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh.

... Respondents

! Counsel for the Petitioner	: Mr. S.M. Subhan
^ Counsel for Respondent No.1	: Mr. K. Lakshman, ASG
^ Counsel for Respondent No.2	: Mr. Vivekchandra Sekhar S.
^ Counsel for Respondent No.3	: Mrs. A. Chaya Devi
^ Counsel for Respondent No.4	: Mr. Taddi Nageswara Rao
^ Counsel for Respondent No.5	: G.P. for Revenue (A.P)

< GI ST:

> HEAD NOTE:

? Cases referred

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

WRIT PETITION No.29195 of 2017

ORDER: (Per VRS,J)

The 1st petitioner, who belongs to the Muslim minority community on account of which she is entitled to be treated as a candidate belonging to BC-E in the quota reserved for such candidates, has come up with the above writ petition challenging the action of the university in not granting her the benefit of reservation.

2. Heard Mr. S.M. Subhan, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Taddi Nageswara Rao, learned counsel appearing for the University.

3. Unfortunately in the application that the petitioner filed, she indicated her caste category as "OC". This was despite the 1st petitioner belonging to the Muslim community. Therefore, there was no fault on the part of the University.

4. Now the last date for admission for the current academic year is also already over. Therefore, the writ petition is dismissed as no relief can be granted to the petitioners.

5. As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending in this writ petition, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN, J.

ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI, J.

25th October, 2017
Js.

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V. RAMASUBRAMANIAN
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ABHINAND KUMAR SHAVILI

WRIT PETITION No.29195 of 2017



25th October, 2017

Js.