

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.V.SESHA SAI

W.P.No.41886 of 2016

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for the following relief:

“To issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents in not referring the matter to competent authority under Section 64 of The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in spite of representation dated 27.7.2016, in connection with the lands of the petitioners situated in Sy. Nos. 18 and 19 Komatlagudem Village of Kukunoor Mandal, West Godavari District admeasuring an total extent of Acs. 9.29 guntas and 3.05 guntas respectively now which are sought to be acquired vide notification RC. No. G/214/2011, dated 23.6.2016 published in Telugu daily News Paper, wanting to pay compensation to the un-officials respondents Nos. 7 to 12 as being illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the Article 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently to direct the respondent authorities to refer the matter to competent authority as required under the new Act, 30 of 2013 by depositing the entire amount into the court.”


2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Pleader appearing for Respondents 1 to 6 and the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 7 to 12 apart from perusing the material available on record.

3. In the present Writ Petition, the petitioners herein are disputing the right of the respondents 7 to 12 in receiving compensation amount in respect of the subject properties. It is submitted by the learned Government Pleader, on instructions, that award has not yet been passed in respect of the subject properties and it is open for the petitioners as well as the respondents 7 to 12 to raise their claims before the Authorities under Act 30 of 2013.

4. Having regard to the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Government Pleader for Respondents 1 to 6 and the learned counsel for the respondents 7 to 12, this Court is of the considered opinion that ends of justice would be served if the petitioners as well as the respondents 7 to 12 are permitted to raise their claims before the Respondent authorities with regard to their right over the property.

5. For the aforesaid reasons, the Writ Petition is disposed of, keeping it open to the petitioners as well as the respondents 7 to 12 to raise their respective claims before the 6th respondent and it is open for the 6th respondent to consider the same and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law. As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Date:09.03.2017
grk



A.V.SESHA SAI, J

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.V.SESHA SAI



W.P.No.41886 of 2016

Dated: 09.03.2017

grk