

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.16985 OF 2007

DATED:17-03-2017

Between:

Trikaal Advertising (P) Ltd.,
Plot No.27, UBI Colony
Road No.3, Banjara Hills
Hyderabad-34

Rep. by its Director Sri Sunil Babu ... Petitioner

And

The Hon'ble Labour Court-I
Rep. by its Presiding Officer
Hyderabad
and another

... Respondents

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER: None appeared

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENTS: -



THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed for the following substantive relief:

“..to issue writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari and after calling for the records in I.D. No.10/2003, dt.15.12.2006 on the file of the Hon'ble 1st respondent insofar as granting reinstatement to the 2nd respondent with continuity of service as the same is illegal, arbitrary and unjust, and consequently quash the same.”

At the hearing, there is no representation for the petitioner. A perusal of the proceedings sheet shows that this Court has permitted the counsel for the petitioner to take out notice to respondent No.2 with a default clause that if such acknowledgement is not filed within two weeks, the writ petition shall stand dismissed qua respondent No.2 without further reference to the Court. The office report shows that the acknowledgement of notice has not been filed by the petitioner and that the order of dismissal against respondent No.2 has come into effect. Since the relief is claimed against respondent No.2 alone, respondent No.1 being a proforma party, with the dismissal of the writ petition for non-service of notice on respondent No.2, the cause in the writ petition does not survive.

The writ petition is therefore dismissed as infructuous.

As a sequel to dismissal of the writ petition, interim order dt.09.8.2007 passed in W.P.M.P. No.21696 of 2007 shall stand vacated and the said application shall stand disposed of as infructuous.

C.V. NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

17-03-2017

bnr

