

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO

SECOND APPEAL No. 1113 of 2017

JUDGMENT:

Heard Sri V. Ramakrishna Reddy, learned counsel for appellant and Sri R. A. Achutanand, learned counsel for respondent.

2. When the matter came up for hearing on the admission of the Second Appeal, learned counsel for appellant would submit that there are no substantial questions of law involved in this appeal and since the judgment in the appeal relates to the decree for delivery of vacant possession of the suit schedule property, the appellant requires reasonable time for vacating the suit premises.

3. Learned counsel for respondent also agreed for this course and both parties after arriving at consensus submitted that six months time would be given to the appellant for vacating the suit schedule premises. So far as the arrears of rent awarded by the trial Court is concerned, the respondent/plaintiff will file final decree petition before the trial Court.

4. In view of the above submission of both the learned counsel, the appellant is granted six months time and after expiry of which period, the appellant shall deliver vacant possession of the suit schedule premises to the respondent/plaintiff. It is agreed by the appellant that during his

stay, he will not alienate or sub-lease the suit schedule premises to the third parties.

5. The Second Appeal is accordingly disposed of without costs.

As a sequel there to, miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

U.DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

Date: 11.10.2017
KA

