

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S. V. BHATT

WRIT PETITION No.13958 of 2008

ORDER:

Heard Sri Staram Chaparla for Sri Nimmagadda Satyanarayana for petitioners, Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Sri Seshadri for respondent Nos.8 and 9.

Petitioners challenge proceedings No.Rc.H1/ 2394/ 2007 dated 06-12-2007, RCF 938/ 2007 dated 07-12-2007, R.C.B.134/ 2007 dated 26-12-2007, R.O.C.1658/ 2007[P]7, dated 24-12-2007 and R.O.C. 1658/ 2007[P]A6, dated 19-02-2008 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice.

Through Communication dated 06-12-2007 the 2nd respondent directed the 3rd respondent to issue fresh door numbers to Chandragiri area in continuation of door numbers of Polavaram Gram Panchayat. The 2nd respondent further directed the 4th respondent to take action as per Rules for deletion from Turakapalem voters list and inclusion of names of residents of Chandragiri in the electoral rolls of Polavaram in the on going Summary Revision of Electoral rolls and instructed Tahsildar concerned to transfer the household supply cards as per residence to avoid adverse comments.

The direction refers to two eventualities, the circumstances leading to the issue of these direction is that the petitioners is all residents of Chandragiri and for all the administrative purposes are under the jurisdiction of Turkapalem and having regard to the geographical location of Chandragiri, the directions, as noted above, to

include petitioners in Polavaram Gram Panchayat have been issued. The grievance is that the petitioners have not been heard and everything is assumed and directions are issued behind their back. On 20-06-2008, the petitioners made representation to 3rd respondent for redressal of the grievance substantially canvassed in this writ petition as well.

Having regard to passage of time, this Court is not proposing to examine various contentions urged by the counsel appearing for the parties. Admittedly, the representation of petitioners remains unattended as on date.

Hence, in the fact situation of this case, to meet the ends of justice, I am satisfied the writ petition can be disposed of by directing respondents 2 to 4 to consider the representation and take a decision as is warranted in the case and communicate to petitioners.

The petitioners are given liberty to re-submit representation dated 20-06-2008 to respondents 2 to 4 by enclosing a copy of this order within four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and thereafter, respondents 2 to 4 are directed to take decision and communicate to petitioners within three months thereafter.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending, shall stand closed.

S. V. BHATT, J

Dt: 19-01-2017
Prv

