

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.11077 OF 2017

ORDER:

It is the case of the petitioners that petitioners and others were assigned D-Form patta on 04-12-1998, an extent of Ac.2-00 each in Sy.No.471 part in Gilkapadu Village in Rapur Mandal. Since then, the petitioners are in possession and doing agriculture in the said land. The 5th respondent informed them that D-Form pattas were issued in Sy.No.471 part of Gilkapadu Village will be cancelled. Having no other go, the petitioners filed WP.No.29669 of 2010 and which was disposed of observing that as long as D-Form pattas are in force, the petitioners cannot be dispossessed. DKT pattas were cancelled by the 5th respondent under R.C.G-813 of 10, dated 08-02-2010 and the petitioners were informed of the same. The petitioners filed application on 30-08-2011 and the 5th respondent informed that the pattas were cancelled on 08-02-2010 and the Government has taken possession of the land admeasuring Ac.130-17 cents in Sy.No.471 of Gilakapadu Revenue Village the same was converted into wet land and the same was allotted to different communities. The case of the petitioners is that the 5th respondent did not inform about cancellation of pattas. Hence, this writ petition is filed to cancel the endorsement vide proceedings RCB 813/09, dated 23-09-2011 issued by the 5th respondent and restore the DKT pattas issued to the petitioners and others in

Sy.No.471 admeasuring an extent of Ac.130.17 cents situated in Gilakapadu Village, Ravur Mandal, SPS Nellore District.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue.

In this case, it is to be seen that even according to the petitioners, they were informed that their pattas were cancelled vide proceedings dated 23-09-2011, but it is not known why the petitioners did not challenge the said proceedings and no reason is mentioned why the writ petition is filed after lapse of more than six years. The petitioners are also aware that subject land was assigned to third parties by proceedings dated 23-09-2011 were issued and the petitioners kept quiet for all these six years and filed the present writ petition. More so, the beneficiaries shown in the impugned proceedings are not made as parties to the writ petition.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, I do not see any reason to entertain the writ petition.

Accordingly, this writ petition is dismissed. As a sequel to the disposal of this petition, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

A.RAJASHEKER REDDY,J

28-03-2017
Nvl

