

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GUDISEVA SHYAM PRASAD
WRIT PETITION Nos.7211 & 7213 of 2004

Date:17.8.2017

WRIT PETITION No.7211 of 2004

Between:

M.Parvathi,
D/o M.Lachanna

..... Petitioner

And:

The Commissioner & Inspector General
of Registration, Stamps and Chief
Controlling Revenue & Authority,
Hyderabad and three others.

.....Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr. M.Rathna Reddy

Counsel for respondent Nos.1 & 2: GP for Registration (AP)

WRIT PETITION No.7213 of 2004

Between:

M.Parvathi,
D/o M.Lachanna

..... Petitioner

And:

The Govt of AP. Reptd by its
Principal Secretary, Revenue
Department, Hyderabad and five others.

.....Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Mr. M.Rathna Reddy

Counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3: GP for Registration (AP)

The Court made the following:

COMMON ORDER: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice C.V.Nagarjuna Reddy)*

As the petitioner and the subject matter of these Writ Petitions are the same, both the cases are being disposed of together.

Writ Petition No.7211 of 2004 is filed for the following substantive relief:

“... to issue writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari calling for the records in respect of order dt. 03/03/2004 passed in OA.No.6128/99 passed by the Hon'ble Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal and quash the same as unjust and unsustainable and also declare Proc.No.E1/1223/98 dt. 31/12/1998 and Proc.No.E1/1809/99 dt. 28/08/1999 issued by the 2nd and 1st respondents respectively, as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to Rules and set aside the same and consequently, to direct the respondents to continue the petitioner as Sub-Registrar Grade-II with all the attendant and service benefits in the interest of justice.”

Writ Petition No.7213 of 2004 is filed for the following substantive relief:


“... to issue Writ Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Certiorari calling for the records in respect of order dated 03-03-2004 passed in O.A.NO.6950/98 passed by the Hon'ble Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal and to quash the same as unjust and unsustainable and consequently, to direct the respondents to

continue the Petitioner as Sub-Registrar Grade-II in the interest of justice.”

At the hearing, Mr. M.Rathna Reddy, the learned counsel for the petitioner, submitted that as his client has already been terminated, no further orders in the Writ Petitions need be passed.

In view of the same, both the Writ Petitions are disposed of as infructuous.

As a sequel to disposal of the Writ Petitions, interim orders, dated 16.4.2004, in WPMP.No.9405 of 2004 and WPMP.No.9407 of 2004 are vacated and the said applications are disposed of as infructuous.



JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

JUSTICE GUDISEVA SHYAM PRASAD

17th August 2017

DR