

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

[Special Original Jurisdiction]

WEDNESDAY THE TWELVETH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND SEVENTEEN

PRESENT

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE U. DURGA PRASAD RAO

WRIT PETITION No. 22995 OF 2017

Between :

Ch. Meena Kumari ... Petitioner

V/s.

The A.P.P.S.C.
Represented by its Secretary,
Nampally, Hyderabad & Anr. ... Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri K. Sarvabhouna Rao

Counsel for the Respondents : GP for Services-I [AP]
Sri C. Srinivasa Baba

The court made the following: : [order follows]

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT
A N D
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U. DURGA PRASAD RAO**

WRIT PETITION No. 22995 OF 2017

ORDER : (Oral) (Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice Suresh Kumar Kait)

Vide the present petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 28/04/2017 passed in O.A.No. 990 of 2017 with batch of OAs whereby the O.A. filed by the petitioner has been dismissed.

2. The case of the petitioner is that in response to the Notification No.18/2016, dated 08/11/2016 for Group-II Services Examination conducted by the A.P. Public Service Commission, the petitioner applied and participated in the selection process. The examination was conducted on 26/02/2017, by mistake the petitioner did a mistake in bubbling one or two digits of roll number /Hall ticket numbers in OMR sheets, though they correctly written numerical numbers in the space provided. But unfortunately, the answer sheet of the petitioner was not validated.

3. Thereafter, the petitioner made representation to the respondents requesting to validate the answer sheet, since they have not passed any order, the petitioner having no option filed the above O.A.

4. Similar issue came before this Court in WP.No. 20088 of 2003 and WP.No. 17900 of 2017, whereby this Court passed the order in WP.No. 20088 of 2003 at paragraph No.4, which reads as under:

“Though the learned counsel for the petitioner tried to convince this Court that on account of slight mistake committed by the petitioners they could not be denied employment opportunity, we have not persuaded to accept his contention. When the petitioners themselves committed mistake in encoding their register number/optional codes, they have to suffer for the same and nobody could be blamed for their mistakes. Thus, we do not find any illegality or irregularity in the order passed by the Tribunal and we find no merit in the writ petition.”

5. It is an admitted fact that the petitioner did mistake in bubbling one or two digits of Roll Number/Hall Ticket numbers in OMR sheets, though they correctly written numerical numbers in the space provided.

6. Keeping in view the facts that the issue raised in this writ petition, which has already been dealt and rejected by this Court. We find no illegality in the order passed by the Tribunal. Finding no merit in this writ petition, the same is accordingly dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

7. As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

JUSTICE U. DURGA PRASAD RAO



12-07-2017

Is L

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT
AND
HON'BLE JUSTICE U. DURGA PRASAD RAO**

212

WRIT PETITION No. 22995 OF 2017
[DISMISSED]

*(Order of the Division Bench delivered by
Hon'ble Sri Justice Suresh Kumar Kait)*



Date. 12-07-2017
Court Master: I s L
Computer No. 43
Circulation No. 212