

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT

W.P.No. 32281 of 2017

ORDER:-

Having considered the averments in the affidavit and the counter affidavit, this Court is of the view that ends of justice are met by directing the S.D.P.O. to take note of the circumstances as on date while reviewing the rowdy sheet challenged in the writ petition. The petitioner is at liberty to file explanation / representation within two weeks from today by enclosing copy of this order. This Court has no reason to doubt that as and when such explanation / representation is filed by the petitioner, the same is examined in the light of the law laid down by this Court in W.P.No. 4688 of 2012 which was disposed of on 16.03.2017 and in the judgments reported in Sunkara Satyanarayana v. State of Andhra Pradesh<sup>1</sup> and B. Satyanarayana Reddy v. State of Andhra Pradesh<sup>2</sup>.

With the above observation, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any pending, shall stand disposed of as infructuous.

\_\_\_\_\_  
S.V. BHATT, J

02.11.2017

bcj

\_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>1</sup> 2000(1) ALD (CrI.) 117 (AP)

<sup>2</sup> 2004(1) ALD (CrI.) 38 = 2004(2) ALT (CrI.) 115