

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.V.SESHA SAI

WRIT PETITION No.30779 of 2017

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Ancha Panduranga Rao for the respondent Municipal Corporation.

In response to notice, dated 14.06.2017, issued by the 2nd respondent Municipal Corporation under Section 452(1) and (2) of the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation Act, 1955, the petitioner submitted his explanation on 05.07.2017 denying the contents of the said notice.

The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that the respondent Corporation authorities, without considering his explanation and without giving him opportunity of hearing, are proceeding with the process of demolition and if the same is permitted, he will be put to irreparable loss and hardship.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing counsel for the respondent Municipal Corporation and as the explanation, dated 05.07.2017, according to the petitioner, is pending consideration before the 2nd respondent, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider the explanation, dated 05.07.2017, submitted by the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, after hearing the petitioner. Till such exercise attains finality, no coercive action shall be taken pursuant to the

notice, dated 14.06.2017, issued by the Assistant City Planner, Kakinada Municipal Corporation, 3rd respondent herein. No order as to costs.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

A.V. SESA SAI, J

Date: 12.09.2017
ES

