

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.V.S.S. SOMAYAJULU

Civil Revision Petition No.5121 of 2011

ORDER:

This Civil Revision Petition is filed against the orders dated 14.07.2011 in I.A.No.78 of 2011 in the suit O.S.No.225 of 2011.

The application is filed under Order-1 Rule-10 of the Civil Procedure Code to add the proposed respondents to the pending suit O.S.No.225 of 2011.

The suit is filed for partition of the properties mentioned in the plaint schedule.

The petitioners seek to add the proposed parties because they have purchased the property *pendente lite* and therefore, they state that their presence is necessary to adjudicate the suit.

The respondents including the proposed parties have entered appearance and opposed the application.

After hearing the parties, the lower Court passed the impugned order dated 14.07.2011. Against the same, the present Civil Revision Petition is filed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners. None appears for the respondents.

The point for consideration is whether the order of the lower Court suffers from any infirmity or is contrary to law.

It is a well settled proposition of law that the party to be added to the suit should be a party without whose presence

the matter cannot be disposed of. In this case, the suit is for partition and as rightly observed by the lower Court, the proposed respondents are not in the original list of the defendants and sharers. They are subsequent purchasers. Hence, their presence is not necessary for effective disposal of the main suit itself.

In addition to the above, it is noticeable that the petitioners themselves have raised the issue of the purchases being covered by the *Doctrine of lis pendens* and the deponent of the affidavit has also clearly stated that the transfers are effected by Section 52 of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882. Therefore, it is clear that the respondents and the petitioners are aware of the implications of the *Doctrine of lis pendens* and consequences that may follow.

For all the above reasons, I am of the opinion that the presence of the proposed parties is not necessary and that the order of the lower Court does not suffer from any infirmity. The suit for partition can be disposed off without the presence of the proposed parties.

In the result, the Civil Revision Petition is disposed of. However, there shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this revision shall stand closed.

D.V.S.S. SOMAYAJULU, J

Date: 06.10.2017
Isn