

SMT JUSTICE T. RAJANI

MACMA.No.458 of 2008

JUDGMENT:

This appeal is preferred by the appellant-insurance company, who is respondent No.2 before the Court below, assailing the judgment of the IV Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge – cum – XVIII Additional Chief Judge, Hyderabad in OP.No.1097 of 2004 dated 09.04.2007 only with regard to the salary that was taken by the Court below as being drawn by the deceased.

2. Heard both the counsel.

3. Counsel for the appellant contends that the appellant is only aggrieved by the approach of the Court below in taking the entire salary of the deceased, which was reflected by the salary certificate Ex.A6, as Rs.2672/-. The counsel contends that statutory deductions ought to have been taken into consideration and the salary ought to have been arrived at after considering such deductions.

4. The law is well settled by now that even the services rendered by a home maker, to the family, can be valued in the least at Rs.3,000/- per month. Even if the deceased was considered as non-working woman, her services rendered to the family would be valued at Rs.3,000/- per month. In such circumstances, a working woman cannot be placed on a lower pedestal than a non-working woman. Hence, the grievance of the appellant is not at all well-founded and this Court does not see any reason to interfere with the judgment of the Court below.

The civil miscellaneous appeal is dismissed. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

September 4, 2017
DSK

T. RAJANI, J

