

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO

WRIT PETITION No.33761 OF 2017

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned standing counsel for respondents 1 to 3. In spite of intimation by the petitioner, none appears for the fifth respondent.

It is the case of the petitioner that he completed three years full time diploma course, i.e., Diploma in Plastics Technology, from Central Institution of Plastics Engineering & Technology, Guindy, Chennai, at Hyderabad Centre, with 70% marks and obtained a Completed Certificate on 12.05.2017. It is one of the relevant/appropriate branches of Chemical Engineering and the petitioner is eligible for lateral admission to Second Year B.Tech., (Chemical Engineering) course. He appeared for entrance examination conducted by TS ECET and was qualified. He sought lateral admission to the Second Year and since he did not satisfy the Convener with regard to the equivalency of qualification in order to be eligible for lateral admission, he was not permitted nor allotted by the Convener to the fifth respondent college. But, he was given admission by the fifth respondent college on spot admission and was regularly attending the college. When the last date for payment of fees without late fee was completed on 05.10.2017, and with late fee is going to expire on 20.10.2017, the present writ petition is filed on 09.10.2017.

When the matter was taken up for consideration on 10.10.2017, learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to inform the fifth respondent college, where the petitioner is studying, with regard to the pendency of the present writ petition, and in spite of intimation to the fifth respondent, none appeared for the fifth respondent.

The learned standing counsel for the respondents 1 to 3 submits that in the absence of equivalency certificate produced by the petitioner, though the petitioner was qualified in the entrance examination, the petitioner was not allotted by the Convener. The petitioner, on his own, got admission with the

fifth respondent college and attended the classes. The respondents 1 to 3 did not recognize the admission of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there were similarly situated persons, who were provisionally allotted to the fifth respondent college and the learned standing counsel for respondents 1 to 3 submits that they might have been allotted on satisfying the Convener with regard to the equivalency of the qualification possessed by them.

In the absence of the recognition of the admission of the petitioner in the fifth respondent college by the respondents 1 to 3, no relief can be granted in the present writ petition.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed at the admission stage. Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

13.10.2017
pln



A.RAMALINGESWARA RAO, J