

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO

Second Appeal No.5 of 2017

JUDGMENT:

When the matter came up for hearing on admission, learned Senior Counsel Sri Vedula Venkataramana filed a memo and submitted that the instant appeal is filed against the dismissal of A.S.No.286 of 2013 dated 23.08.2016 passed by III Additional Chief Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad and that there are no substantial questions of law involved in the Second Appeal and in that view, the appellant requires six months time for vacating the suit premises and the respondent also agreed to accommodate the said time on the condition of appellant paying admitted rent of Rs.9,000/- from December, 2016 to 31.03.2018 (16 months) totalling Rs.1,44,000/-. He stated that the said amount is paid by way of demand draft No.081921 dated 13.09.2017 drawn on Axis Bank Limited in the name of respondent. To vacate the suit premises time is granted upto 31.03.2018 on which date the appellant would deliver vacant possession of the suit schedule premises to the respondent. Learned counsel thus requested to record the memo and close the appeal.

2) Learned counsel for respondent Sri P.Ramachandran is present and perused the memo and agreed for the same.

3) Recording the contents of the memo, Second Appeal is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

U. DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

Date: 06.10.2017
Murthy