

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B. SIVA SANKARA RAO

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE Nos.537 & 550 of 2017

COMMON ORDER:

Heard both sides at length and perused the impugned orders in both the revisions.

There is nothing to interfere with the impugned orders, however none of the observations will not come in the way either prejudice to the case of the prosecution or that of the defence of the accused, but for liberty if at all the respective revision petitioners can avail by filing application under Section 45 of the Evidence Act if at all to establish the disputed signature on the cheque rooted from the account of the accused belongs to him by asking the Court by obtaining specimen signature and from the difference in contemporary relevancy, with reference to any admitted signatures including those in opening of the accounts etc., with the Bank respectively.

Subject to the above observation, both the revisions are disposed of.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any shall stand closed. No costs.

JUSTICE Dr. B.SIVA SANKARA RAO

Date: 15.03.2017
ska