

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.27520 OF 2017

Dated:21.08.2017

Between:

Smt. Ravipati Aruna Kumari,
W/o. Venkata Rao, aged 38 years,
Occ: Housewife & Agriculture,
R/o.D.No.19-1, Katurivaripalem Vllage,
Podili Mandal, Prakasam District

.. Petitioner

And

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep., by its
Principal Secretary, Revenue Department,
A.P. Secretariat, Amaravati, Tulluru
Mandal, Guntur District and others

.. Respondents



The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.27520 OF 2017

ORDER:

Petitioner claims to be the owner and possessor of agricultural dry land to an extent of Acs.9.00 in Survey Nos.1014 and 1017 of Podili Village, Grampanchayat and Mandal, Prakasam District. She claims that the said property is acquired by way of registered sale deed dated 28.10.2004. According to petitioner, when she applied for mutation of her name in the revenue records and also for issuance of pattadar passbook and title deeds, the same was rejected within an endorsement that the property is classified in Fair Land Register as 'Anaadeenam'.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that such classification is illegal and was made without notice and opportunity to the petitioner.

3. The facts on record, as noted above, would disclose that the request of the petitioner was rejected as per the classification of the land in the revenue records. If the petitioner has any objection on the classification made in the revenue records or the manner of rejection for mutation of her name in the revenue records, she has to avail the remedy of appeal as provided under the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbooks Act, 1971 (for short, 'the Act'). Without availing the said remedy, the petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court. Since it cannot be said that the remedy of appeal provided under the Act is not efficacious and petitioner is not precluded from raising

objections in the appeal that may be filed, this Court is not inclined to entertain the Writ Petition.

4. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed leaving it open to the petitioner to work out her remedies in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the Writ Petition shall stand closed.

P. NAVEEN RAO, J

Date:21.08.2017

KH

