

THE HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE B. SIVA SANKARA RAO

CrI.P.M.P.No.8782 in CrI.P.No.8242 of 2017  
and  
CrI.P.M.P.No.8783 in CrI.P.No.8243 of 2017  
in/and  
Criminal Petition Nos.8242 and 8243 of 2017

ORDER :

Heard the learned counsel for the self-same petitioner/  
Accused in C.C.No.115 of 2016 on the file of the XX Metropolitan  
Magistrate, Cyberabad, Malkajigiri, for the offences punishable  
u/ sec.504 and 507 IPC and Section 66-A of the Information  
Technology Act, and in another Criminal petition against  
C.C.No.640 of 2016 on the file of the XIII Addl.Chief Metropolitan  
Magistrate at Hyderabad for the offences u/ sec.498-A of IPC and  
Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, on the complaint of  
self-same defacto-complainant/ 1<sup>st</sup> respondent, none other than  
wife of the petitioner and also heard the learned Public  
Prosecutor.

The Section 66-A of I.T.Act, 2000 does not survive as per the  
expression of the Apex Court in Shreya Singhal Vs. Union of India<sup>1</sup>  
where said section is struck down in its entirety being violative of  
Article 19(1)(a) and not saved under Article 19(2) of the  
Constitution of India.

The petitioner and the defacto-complainant present and  
stated that they settled the matter amicably outside the Court to  
purchase peace the remaining offences and vide

---

<sup>1</sup> AIR 2015 SC 1523

Crl.P.M.P.Nos.8782 and 8783 of 2017 in respective criminal petitions supra sought permission to compound the offences and prayed to quash the proceedings and accordingly permission is granted to compound the offences.

In the result, the respective Crl.P.M.P.Nos.8782 and 8783 of 2017 are allowed. Consequently, the both the Criminal Petitions are also allowed quashing the proceedings in both the Calander Cases supra against the petitioner/ Accused. The petitioner/ accused is acquitted and his bail bonds if any, shall stand cancelled. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

Date:04.09.2017  
vvr

Dr. B.SIVA SANKARA RAO J,

