

THE HON' BLE SRI JUSTICE M. SEETHARAMA MURTI

CrI.R.C.MP.No.2868 of 2017 in/and
CrI.R.C.No.1796 of 2017

COMMON ORDER:

The Criminal Revision Case, under Sections 397 and 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, ('the CrPC', for short) is filed by the unsuccessful appellant assailing the judgment, dated 07.08.2013, passed in CrI.A.No.943 of 2012. By the said judgment, the learned V Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge (Mahila Court), Hyderabad, while dismissing the said appeal had confirmed the order, dated 08.08.2011, in DVC.No.137 of 2010 on the file of the Court of the learned III Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad.

Along with the criminal revision case, the 2nd respondent filed CrI.RC.MP.No.2868 of 2017 requesting to record the compromise arrived at between the petitioner and the 2nd respondent and allow the criminal revision case and set aside the judgment of the appellate Court as well as the order of the trial Court.

When the matter is taken up for hearing, the petitioner and the 2nd respondent are present. They produced copies of their respective identity proofs. They are also identified by their respective counsel.

When asked by the Court, the 2nd respondent stated that she voluntarily settled the matter amicably without any force from any quarter and confirmed the terms of compromise, which are said to have been arrived at, at the intervention of elders and well wishers. The petitioner also stated that they have amicably settled the matter and further asserted the terms of the compromise, which are reduced into writing in the form of joint memo filed along with the afore-stated Miscellaneous Petition.

In the circumstances, the compromise is recorded and CrI.RC.MP.No.2868 of 2017 is allowed. Consequently, Criminal Revision Case is allowed and the judgment, dated 07.08.2013, passed in CrI.A.No.943 of 2012 on the file of the Court of the learned V Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge (Mahila Court), Hyderabad, and the order, dated 08.08.2011, in DVC.No.137 of 2010 on the file of the Court of the learned III Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, are set aside. The Joint Memo filed by the parties shall form part of this order.

Miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

20.06.2017
Vjl



JUSTICE M. SEETHARAMA MURTI