

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.16155 OF 2017

ORDER :

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Asst. Government Pleader for Revenue.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the impugned order passed by the 3rd respondent dated 14-09-2015 and as confirmed by the 2nd respondent by order dated 12-04-2017 are passed without following the due process of law and the procedure contemplated under Act 9 of Assigned Land (Prohibition of Transfer) Act, 1977 (for short, 'the Act') and, therefore, the impugned orders are liable to be set aside. On the other hand, learned Asst. Government Pleader for Revenue submits that against the impugned order, petitioners have remedy under the provisions of the Act by way of filing revision.

3. Though various contentions are canvassed by learned counsel for the petitioners, suffice it to observe that the petitioners can raise those contentions by availing the remedy under the provisions of the Act by filing revision against the impugned order. In the circumstances, it is open for the

petitioners to avail the remedy of filing revision, if so advised, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of a copy this order and on such filing the revision against the impugned order, the same be disposed of in according with law by the revisional authority, after issuing notices to the petitioners and the 5th respondent and affording them opportunity of being heard in the matter. There shall be status-quo with regard to possession of the land in question for a period of three weeks enabling the petitioners to avail alternate remedy.

4. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs. As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

A.RAJASHEKER REDDY, J

Dated: 28-04-2017
NRG

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.16155 of 2017

//WEB//



Date: 28-04-2017

NRG

