

THE HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE B. SIVA SANKARA RAO

CrI.P.M.P.No.8782 in CrI.P.No.8242 of 2017
and
CrI.P.M.P.No.8783 in CrI.P.No.8243 of 2017
in/and
Criminal Petition Nos.8242 and 8243 of 2017

ORDER :

Heard the learned counsel for the self-same petitioner/
Accused in C.C.No.115 of 2016 on the file of the XX Metropolitan
Magistrate, Cyberabad, Malkajigiri, for the offences punishable
u/ sec.504 and 507 IPC and Section 66-A of the Information
Technology Act, and in another Criminal petition against
C.C.No.640 of 2016 on the file of the XIII Addl.Chief Metropolitan
Magistrate at Hyderabad for the offences u/ sec.498-A of IPC and
Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, on the complaint of
self-same defacto-complainant/ 1st respondent, none other than
wife of the petitioner and also heard the learned Public
Prosecutor.

The Section 66-A of I.T.Act, 2000 does not survive as per the
expression of the Apex Court in Shreya Singhal Vs. Union of India¹
where said section is struck down in its entirety being violative of
Article 19(1)(a) and not saved under Article 19(2) of the
Constitution of India.

The petitioner and the defacto-complainant present and
stated that they settled the matter amicably outside the Court to
purchase peace the remaining offences and vide

¹ AIR 2015 SC 1523

Crl.P.M.P.Nos.8782 and 8783 of 2017 in respective criminal petitions supra sought permission to compound the offences and prayed to quash the proceedings and accordingly permission is granted to compound the offences.

In the result, the respective Crl.P.M.P.Nos.8782 and 8783 of 2017 are allowed. Consequently, the both the Criminal Petitions are also allowed quashing the proceedings in both the Calander Cases supra against the petitioner/ Accused. The petitioner/ accused is acquitted and his bail bonds if any, shall stand cancelled. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

Date:04.09.2017
vvr

Dr. B.SIVA SANKARA RAO J,

