

SMT JUSTICE T. RAJANI

MACMA.No.2225 of 2006

JUDGMENT:

This appeal is preferred by the claimants dissatisfied with the award passed by the Principal District Judge, Ranga Reddy in OP.No.823 of 2001 dated 28.04.2005.

2. The grievance of the appellants is that instead of adopting suitable multiplier 16 the tribunal adopted multiplier 15.15.

3. Counsel for the respondents does not raise a serious dispute about adopting multiplier 16, which is the multiplier prescribed for the age group 30-35 by the Supreme Court in SARLA VERMA v. DELHI TRANSPORT CORPORATION¹.

4. The undisputed age of the deceased in this case is 34 years; hence, it would be just to adopt multiplier 16 instead of 15.15. There is no dispute with regard to the income of the deceased. The annual income after deducting 1/3rd towards personal expenditure of the deceased is taken as 45,024/-. Hence, the amount of compensation payable to claimants would come to Rs.45,024/- x 16 = Rs.7,20,384/-.

In the result, the civil miscellaneous appeal is allowed in part by enhancing the compensation towards loss of future income from Rs.6,94,114/- to Rs.7,20,384/- and the rest of the award is left unaltered. The enhanced amount shall carry interest at the rate of

¹ (2009) 6 SCC 121

7.5% per annum from the time indicated in the award by the tribunal below till date of payment. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

June 2, 2017
DSK

T. RAJANI, J

