



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 10.03.2017

CORAM :

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBRAMANIAN

Writ Petition (MD) No.2646 of 2013

Senthilkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Chairman,
UYEGP;Task Force Committee,
General Manager,
District Industrial Committee,
Trichirappalli District.

2.The Branch Manager,
Canara Bank, Thuraiyur,
Trichy.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to direct the 2nd Respondent to sanction the loan as per recommendation of the 1st Respondent in UYEGP No.03/2012-13 dated 05-06-2012.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Ramu

For 2nd Respondent : Mr.C.Jawahar Ravindran

For 1st Respondent : No appearance

ORDER

The petitioner seeks mandamus directing the second respondent to sanction loan as per recommendation of the first respondent in UYEGP No.03/2012-13 dated 05.06.2012.

2.In the counter affidavit filed by the first respondent, it is stated that the proposal of the petitioner has been rejected by the bank on 16.07.2012 as the same is not technically feasible and economically viable, as there are several units engaged in similar activity as proposed by the petitioner in the local market. It is further stated that the petitioner has to prefer an appeal to the higher authority of the bank against the rejection order dated 16.07.2012.



3. In view of the above, leaving it open to the petitioner to challenge the rejection order dated 16.07.2012 in the manner known to law, the writ petition stands dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Co)

WEB COPY

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

+1 cc to Mr. M. Ramu, Advocate in SR.No. 14279

+1 cc to Mr. C. Jawahar Ravindran, Advocate in SR.No. 13901

sms

AE/RR/23.03.2017/2P/3C

Writ Petition (MD) No.2646 of 2013
10.03.2017