



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 14.09.2017

CORAM:

WEB COPY

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.SUNDRESH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.SATHISH KUMAR**

W.A. (MD) .No.881 of 2013 &
M.P. (MD) .No.1 of 2013

1.T.Anburaj
2.M.Dhanalakshmi
3.D.Mahendran
4.C.Dharmaraj
5.K.Thanga Mariappan
6.T.Nagarajan
7.S.Suresh Babu
8.S.Rajendran
9.R.Kannan

...Appellants/ Petitioners

Vs.

1. The District Collector,
Tuticorin District,
Tuticorin.
- 2.The Commissioner,
Land Administration,
Chepauk,
Chennai-600 005.
- 3.The Salt Commissioner,
2-A, Lavan Marg,
Jhalana Doongri,
Jaipur - 302 001.
Rajasthan.
- 4.The Deputy Salt Commissioner,
26, Haddow Road,
"Shastri Bhavan"
Chennai-600 006.
- 5.The Assistant Salt Commissioner,
Tuticorin.



6.The Commissioner Secretary,
Industries Department,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Fort St. George,
Chennai-600 009.

... Respondents/Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Appeal is filed under Clause 15 of Letters Patent against the order dated 07.06.2013 passed in W.P.(MD).No.3677 of 2012.

Prayer in WP(MD). 3677/ 2012 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to grant an order of writ of mandamus, directing the respondents 1,2 and 5 as to renew salt producing lands situate at Survey No. 1149/1, 1150/1, 1686, 1689/1, 1689/2, 931/1, 931/3B, 1676, 1677/1 1683/1, 1684, 1691/2 and 1692/3, 1139/2A, 1677, 1678, 682/2, 1139/1A, 680,1692/2,675,1684,1679,681,1155/1A, in Meelavittan Village Part II, Tuticorin Taluk, Tuticorin District, for a further period under G.O Ms No.810 dated 30/05/1991, G.O. Ms No. 1242 dated 7/09/1981 and G.O. No.125 dated 05.02.1992 .

For Appellants : Mr.S.Subbiah
Senior Counsel for
Mr.N.Subramanian

For Respondents : Mr.VR.Shanmuganathan for R1 & R2 & R4 to R6
Special Government Pleader

JUDGMENT

[Judgment of the Court was delivered by M.M.SUNDRESH,J]

This appeal is directed against the order of the learned Single Judge, who after holding that the appellants have no vested right to seek for renewal, was pleased to dismiss the writ petition.

2. The learned Senior Counsel appearing for the appellants fairly submitted that the appellants have been dispossessed. However, it is submitted that the intention of the Government to construct a Truck Terminal is not in Public interest as some other place be utilised for the said purpose rather than the place which has been earmarked for manufacture of salt.

3. We are afraid that the said contention cannot be countenanced in the present writ appeal. If the appellants are of the view overwhelming public interest would be affected, if a



Truck Terminal is constructed, it is well open to them to come with a separate petition, if they so desire.

WEB COPY

In the result, the writ appeal is dismissed. No costs. Connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To

1. The District Collector,
Tuticorin District,
Tuticorin.

2. The Commissioner,
Land Administration,
Chepauk,
Chennai-600 005.

3. The Deputy Salt Commissioner,
26, Haddow Road,
"Shastri Bhavan"
Chennai-600 006.

4. The Assistant Salt Commissioner,
Tuticorin.

5. The Commissioner Secretary,
Industries Department,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

+One cc to M/s.N.Subramanian, Advocate, SR.No.79365

jikr

RL/7C/3P/GT/SAR2/27/9/2017

Judgment made in
W.A. (MD) .No.881 of 2013 &
M.P. (MD) .No.1 of 2013