



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 08.11.2017

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P.(MD)No.14477 of 2011
and
M.P(MD)No.1 of 2011

Natchimuthammal
rep. by her husband
and Power Agent
T.S.Venkatramanan

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Inspector General of Registration,
Chennai.

2.The District Registrar,
Coimbatore District,
Coimbatore.

3.Tamil Nadu Cherakula Velalar Sangam,
(Reg.No.3/1944),
rep. by its Secretary,
Singanallur, Kurichy,
Coimbatore District.


... Respondents

PRAYER:Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent 1 and 2 to take further action against the 3rd respondent Sangam as per Section 44(6) of the Societies Registration Act and to dissolve the 3rd Respondent Sangam.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S.Ramu
For Respondents	: Mr.J.Gunaseelan Muthiah, Government Advocate for R.1 & R.2 Mr.S.Anand Chandrasekar for M/s.Sarvabhauman Associates for R.3

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the first and second respondents to take appropriate action against the third respondent Sangam, as per Section 44(6) of the Societies Registration Act and to dissolve the Sangam.



2. According to the petitioner, there existed some dispute with regard to a property in T.S.No.204, North Car Street, Palani Town, Dindigul District, between the petitioner's family and the third respondent Sangam and after some rounds of litigation, the suit was decreed in favour of the Sangam. It is the contention of the petitioner that there are some malpractices in the Sangam and therefore, she has made an application under the RTI Act seeking the details of the said Sangam, whereupon, she came to know that the third respondent Sangam has not filed the returns / documents before the authorities concerned for a quite long period and action has also been initiated against them. Thereafter, the petitioner has made a representation before the authorities for dissolving the Sangam / the third respondent and because of their inaction, the present petition has been filed.

3. After due notice, the learned Counsel appearing for the third respondent Sangam represented before this Court that earlier there was some delay in filing the returns and subsequently, they had made a condonation petition before the authority concerned, who in turn accepted the same and permitted the third respondent Sangam to function.

4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner, the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents 1 & 2 and the learned Counsel appearing for the third respondent.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances, this Court is of the view that nothing survives for further adjudication in this petition and the same is disposed of, leaving it open to the petitioner to approach the competent authority, for any other action, in the manner known to law. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (AE)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Inspector General of Registration, Chennai.

2.The District Registrar,

Coimbatore District, Coimbatore.

+1cc to M/S.S.Ramu, Advocate SR.No. 86184

+1cc to M/S.Sarvabhauman Associates, Advocate SR.No. 86009

+1cc to Special Government Pleader, SR.No. 86282

W.P. (MD) No.14477 of 2011

08.11.2017