



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Friday, the Sixteenth day of June Two Thousand Seventeen

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice J.NISHA BANU

CRL OP(MD) Nos.7380 and 7381 of 2017

1 ANNADURAI
2 ANBUKARASI

... PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS/
ACCUSED RANK NOT KNOWN
IN CRL OP(MD)No.7380 of 2017

ARIVALAGAN

... PETITIONER/PETITIONER/ACCUSED NO.I
IN CRL OP(MD)No.7381 of 2017

Vs

STATE REPRESENTED BY,
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THIRUVONAM POLICE STATION,
THIRUVONAM,
THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.50 OF 2017

... RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/
COMPLAINANT
IN BOTH THE PETITIONS

For Petitioner : M/S.D.SENTHIL Advocate
IN BOTH THE PETITIONS


For Respondent : MR.A.RAMAR, Additional Public Prosecutor
IN BOTH THE PETITIONS

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec. 438 Cr.P.C.

ORDER : The Court Made the following order :-

The petitioners, who are arrayed as accused, in Crime No.50 of 2017 on the file of the respondent police, apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 366(A) IPC @ Section 4 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and hence, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court had already granted anticipatory bail to the petitioners in Crl.O.P(MD) Nos.5512 and 5513 of 2017, vide order dated 28.04.2017, on condition that the petitioners shall execute sureties within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which the petitions for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed. Since the offence which had been initially registered under Section 366(A) IPC, has been altered into under section 4 of



the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, the petitioners could not execute the sureties within the time prescribed by this Court. Hence, the petitioners have come up with these petitions for anticipatory bail.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor affirmed the above said fact.

4. This Court heard the submissions made on either side and perused the order passed in CrI.O.P(MD)Nos.5512 and 5513 of 2017, dated 28.04.2017.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also considering the fact that this Court had already granted anticipatory bail to the petitioners in CrI.O.P(MD)Nos.5512 and 5513 of 2017, dated 28.04.2017, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Mahila Court, Thanjavur and on each executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners shall comply with the condition stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C. scrupulously.

7. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand automatically dismissed.

sd/-
16/06/2017

/ TRUE COPY /

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

- 1 THE JUDGE, MAHILA COURT, THANJAVUR.
- 2 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
THIRUVONAM POLICE STATION, THIRUVONAM, THANJAVUR DISTRICT.
- 3 THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.

+2. CC'S to M/S.D.SENTHIL Advocate SR.Nos.24424 & 24425
ORDER

IN

CRL OP(MD) Nos.7380 and 7381 of 2017
Date :16/06/2017