



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 10.04.2017

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.PARTHIBAN

W.P (MD) No.2784 of 2016

and

WMP (MD) 2473 of 2016

A.Sivakumar

..Petitioner

Vs

1.The District Collector,
Theni District,
Theni.

2.The District Manager,
Lead Bank,
Canara Bank,
Theni, Theni District.

3.The Branch Manger,
South Indian Bank,
Bodinayakanur,
Theni District.

..Respondents


Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the second and third respondents herein to Sanction Educational Loan to the petitioner's son for perusing B.E., Mechanical Engineering Course to the tune of Rs.3,95,200/- for completion of four years studies, as per the certificate issued by the M.A.M. School of Engineering, Trichy, dated 05.10.2015 within the time frame.

For Petitioner	: Mr.S.Gokul Raj
For R1	: Mr.D.Muruganandam
	Addl. Govt. Pleader
For R2 and R3	: Mr.A.R.M.Ramesh

O R D E R

The Petitioner has approached this Court seeking a direction to the third respondent to sanction educational loan of a sum of Rs.3,95,200/- to the petitioner's son viz., S.Amarnath, within a time frame.

2.The case of the petitioner is as follows. The petitioner belongs to a Most Backward Community and his elder son viz., S.Amarnath has completed Higher Secondary studies in the month of



June 2015 and thereafter, he joined B.E., Mechanical Engineering Course in M.A.M. School of Engineering, Trichy. In order to fund the education of his son, the petitioner is said to have approached the third respondent bank on 08.10.2015 for Educational Loan. In that connection, he had also submitted proper application as per direction given by the Bank Officials. However, inspite of his repeated request, there is no response from the third respondent and all his attempts ended in vain. Therefore, he has approached this Court seeking the relief as stated supra.

3. Last occasion, when the matter was taken up for hearing, this Court specifically directed the learned Standing counsel appearing for the respondent Bank to get instruction from the third respondent and the matter was posted today (10.04.2017). Today, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent Bank submits that the third respondent would consider the loan application submitted by the petitioner positively.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the Bank, this Court directs the third respondent to consider the loan application submitted by the petitioner on merits within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The Bank is also directed to consider the claim of the petitioner positively as per bank rules and regulations.

5. This Writ Petition is disposed of with the above directions. There is no order as to costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is also closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (AD II)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The District Collector,
Theni District,
Theni.

2. The District Manager,
Lead Bank,
Canara Bank,
Theni, Theni District.

3. The Branch Manager,
South Indian Bank,
Theni District.



+1cc to M/S.A.R.M.Ramesh, Advocate, SR.51082

+1cc to Mr.S.Gokulraj, Advocate, SR.51118

+1cc to M/S THE SPECIAL GOVERNMENT PLEADER, SR.NO.51512

WEB COPY

W.P (MD) No.2784 of 2016
10.04.2017

NS

kk/SKN RSK-SAR2-13.04.2017-3P-7C