



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 18.01.2017

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.CHOCKALINGAM**W.P(MD) No.24578 of 2016**

Urundamani

... Petitioner

-vs-

The State rep by
The Inspector of Police,
Thisaiyanvilai Police Station,
Tirunelveli
District.

... Respondent

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondent to consider the petitioner's representation, dated 26.11.2016.

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Saravanan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Rajarajan

Government Advocate

O R D E R

The writ petition has been filed for direction, directing the respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 26.11.2016.

2.Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent and perused the materials available on record.

3.It is seen from the records that the writ petition has been filed seeking for a direction, directing the respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner, dated 26.11.2016. On perusal of the records, it is found that the typed copy of the representation alone is available and there is no proof for the representation given by the petitioner as stated in the writ petition and there is also no proof for sending the representation to the respondent. In view of that, the relief sought for by the petitioner cannot be granted.

4.In the result, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Writs)

/True copy/



To:

1.The Inspector of Police,
Thisaiyanvilai Police Station,Tirunelveli District.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

ER

PSM/JC/SAR3/10.04.2017/2P/3C

W.P (MD) No.24578 of 2016
18.01.2017