



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 30.11.2016

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Dr.JUSTICE **S.VIMALA****W.P (MD) No.22932 of 2016****and****W.M.P (MD) No.16407 of 2016**

L.Sree Latha

.. Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by its Secretary,
Department of School Education,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.
- 2.The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai - 600 006.
- 3.The Chief Educational Officer,
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District.
- 4.The District Educational Officer,
Kuzhithurai Educational District,
Kuzhithurai, Kanyakumari District.
- 5.The Secretary,
Sree Devi Girls Higher Secondary School,
Kollemcode - 629 160,
Kanyakumari District.

.. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the impugned proceeding issued by the third respondent CEO in Na.Ka.No. 1905/Aa1/2016 dated 26.05.2016, quash the same and further direct the third and fourth respondents to approve forthwith the appointment of the petitioner as Lab Assistant in the fifth respondent School, namely Sree Devi Girls Higher Secondary School, Kollemcode, Kanyakumari District and disburse the grant-in-aid towards her salary and allowances w.e.f. the date of her appointment viz., 01.06.2014.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Cibi Chakraborty

For Respondents

1 to 4

: Mr.T.S.Mohammed Mohideen,
Additional Government Pleader.

**ORDER**


This Writ Petition has been filed to quash the orders passed by the third respondent dated 26.05.2016 and consequently direct the respondents 3 and 4 to approve forthwith the appointment of the petitioner as Lab Assistant in the fifth respondent school with effect from 01.06.2014.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Advocate, who takes notice for the respondents 1 to 4. By consent, the writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage.

3. The case of the petitioner is that the fifth respondent school, which is a recognised and aided private school, appointed the petitioner as Lab Assistant w.e.f. 01.06.2014, in the vacancy, which arose on account of the retirement of one Mr.V.Soman Nair. The fifth respondent school has sent a proposal dated 06.08.2014 to the fourth respondent to approve the appointment of the petitioner as Lab Assistant. But, the fourth respondent has returned the proposal directing to resubmit the proposal along with the required particulars to the third respondent through proper channel. The school again resubmitted the proposal to the fourth respondent, vide letter dated 24.01.2015. But the fourth respondent rejected the proposal vide proceedings dated 19.05.2015. Thereafter, the school submitted a detailed representation to the third respondent on 29.05.2015. As there is no response, the petitioner filed W.P(MD)No.3314 of 2016 before this Court, wherein this Court directed the third respondent to pass orders on the representation. But, the third respondent vide impugned proceedings dated 26.05.2016 rejected the school's letter dated 29.05.2015 stating that the school has not obtained prior permission. According to the petitioner, as per Tamil Nadu Recognized Private Schools (Regulation Act), no prior permission is required to fill up the post of Office Assistant.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the school is governed by the Tamil Nadu Recognized Private Schools (Regulation) Act, 1973 and the Rules 1974 framed thereunder and as per Rule 11(2) of the said Rules, no prior permission is required from any authority to fill up the vacancy of a non teaching staff, which would arise in a sanctioned post.

5. It is seen that the Government of Tamil Nadu vide G.O.Ms.212, dated 29.11.2001 imposed ban on appointment of different categories of post, excepting Police, Doctors and Teachers. The ban was lifted vide G.O.14, dated 07.02.2006 enabling the fulfillment of non-teaching staff. G.O.Ms.115, dated 30.05.2007 directed filling up of certain categories of non-teaching staff by appointment and the remaining categories of non-teaching staff to be outsourced. Vide G.O.Ms.No.189, dated



29.07.2009, the vacancies of Junior Assistant and Office Assistant are to be filled upon on a priority basis. Subsequently, G.O.203 dated 23.07.2010 mandated that certain categories of non-teaching staff like Junior Assistant, Librarian, Laboratory Assistant, Record Clerk and Office Assistant are to be approved from the date of appointment.

6.The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that once the post is sanctioned by the Director under Rule 15(1) of the Rules, the 4th respondent is bound to sanction grant as per Rule 11(2) of the Rules and there is no need to get prior permission from any authority to fill the vacancies that would arise in the sanctioned post. Unless the State Government suitably amends the provisions of the Act and the Rules making it mandatory to obtain prior permission for filling up of those sanctioned non- teaching posts, the Government could not issue impugned Government Orders.

6.1.This Court is in entire agreement with the said submission. Since there is no such provision in the Act and the Rules to seek prior permission, the impugned proceedings of the third respondent refusing to approve the appointment of the petitioner as Lab Assistant is in gross violation of the provisions of Sections 19 and 20 of the Act read with Rule 15 of the Rules.

6.2.The Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in **The Manager, Concordia High and Higher Secondary Schools V. Tmt.S.Christy and Others**, reported in **2013 Writ L.R. 691** held as under:

"4.In considering the contentions as put forth in the writ petition, learned single Judge pointed out to the judgment passed by this Court in W.A.Nos.93 and 94 of 2009 decided on 06.01.2010 that for any sanctioned post, no prior approval is necessary. In respect of proceedings noting the availability of posts, the learned single Judge pointed out that there is no necessity for prior approval. In any event, the appointment without getting approval could not be a ground for not considering the writ petitioner's plea"

6.3.A similar question arose for consideration in the case of **S.Rasheetha Banu V. State of Tamil Nadu, rep. by its Secretary to Government, Chennai and others**, reported in **(2012) 4 MLJ 198** wherein this Court has categorically held that "if a person is appointed in a sanctioned post in the Private Aided Minority School, the approval cannot be rejected for the purpose of grant on the ground that no prior permission was obtained before appointment". It is useful to extract paragraph 7 of the said order in this regard :



"7.The issue involved in this Writ Petition was already considered by a Division Bench of this Court in W.A.No.1263 of 2001, dated 22.1.2004. In the said Judgment, it is held that if a person is appointed in a sanctioned post, the approval of appointment cannot be rejected and if there is fall in strength and the post become surplus, after granting approval of the post, the said teacher along with post could be transferred/deployed to a needy school. The said Judgment of the Division Bench was followed in W.P.(MD)No.11353 of 2008, dated 11.9.2009. As against the said order dated 11.9.2009, the department preferred W.A.(MD)No.703 of 2009. A Division Bench of this Court, by Judgment dated 1.2.2011, dismissed the said Writ Appeal."

7.The question of approval to the appointment of non-teaching staff in the sanctioned post after the introduction of G.O.Ms.No.115 and G.O.203 came to be considered by this Court and the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court quashed G.O.Ms.115, dated 30.05.2007 and G.O.203, dated 23.07.2010, vide order, dated 15.03.2016 passed in W.P.(MD)Nos.11481 of 2008, etc. batch. It will be appropriate to extract the operative portion of the order as under:

"38. In the result, for the details reasons mentioned above,


(i) All these writ petitions are allowed.

(ii) Impugned G.Os., namely, G.O.Ms.No.115, School Education Department, dated 30.05.2007 and G.O.Ms.No.203, School Education Department, dated 23.07.2010 and Government Letter No.8884/D1/2011-2, dated 09.07.2012, are quashed.

(iii) The impugned orders of the DEOs/DEEOs refusing to approve of the appointments of various non-teaching posts in these writ petitions are set aside and the official respondents are directed to approve of those appointments of the non-teaching staff in the Private Aided Schools concerned in these writ petitions and to sanction grant."

8. In view of the above stated position and also in the light of the Judgment of this Court in W.P(MD)Nos.11481 of 2008, etc. batch (stated supra), this Court is of the view that the impugned order dated 26.05.2016 is liable to be set aside.

9. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is allowed. The impugned order dated 26.05.2016 of the Chief Educational Officer, Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District / third respondent herein, refusing to approve the appointment of the petitioner as Lab Assistant in the fifth respondent school is set aside and the 4th respondent is directed to accord approval to the appointment of the petitioner as Lab Assistant in the fifth respondent school, namely, Sree Devi Girls Higher Secondary School, Kollemcode,



Kanyakumari District, with effect from 01.06.2014 with all monetary and other service benefits, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

WEB COPY

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Secretary,
Department of School Education,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Fort St. George, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The Director of School Education,
College Road, Chennai - 600 006.

3.The Chief Educational Officer,
Nagercoil, Kanyakumari District.

4.The District Educational Officer,
Kuzhithurai Educational District,
Kuzhithurai, Kanyakumari District.

+1 cc to MR.T.CIBICHAKRABORTHY, Advocate SR.No.74648

+1 cc to Special Government Pleader SR.No.74802

ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.22932 of 2016
and
W.M.P(MD)No.16407 of 2016
30.11.2016

SMA/CM-MSA/03.02.2017:5P/7C