



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 13.11.2017

CORAM:

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

W.P(MD)Nos.7510 to 7513 of 2017

Sathiyavathi : Petitioner in W.P.(MD)No.7510 of 2017
K.Micharasu : Petitioner in W.P.(MD)No.7511 of 2017
Uma Maheswari : Petitioner in W.P.(MD)No.7512 of 2017
Karuppasamy : Petitioner in W.P.(MD)No.7513 of 2017
Vs.

The District Collector,
Theni District. : 1st respondent in all writ petitions

The Branch Manager,
Indian Bank, Chinnamanur Branch,
Theni District. .. 2nd Respondent in W.P.(MD)No.7510 of 2017

The Branch Manager,
Indian Bank, Rajadhani Branch,
Theni District. .. 2nd Respondent in W.P.(MD)No.7511 of 2017

The Branch Manager,
State Bank of India, Bodinayakkanur Branch,
Theni District. .. 2nd Respondent in W.P.(MD)No.7512 of 2017

The Branch Manager,
State Bank of India, Devaram Branch,
Theni District. .. 2nd Respondent in W.P.(MD)No.7513 of 2017

COMMON PRAYER: Writ Petitions are filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the second respondent to provide the educational loan application form to the petitioners and further direct the respondents to sanction the educational loan of Rs.3,28,000/- for the petitioners' sons, Amarnath, Arun, Krishnan and Selvakumar respectively, for their B.Sc., (Hotel Management & Catering Science) Course of three years at Annai Fathima College of Management and Science at Thirumangalam, Madurai District.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.C.Herold Singh
For Respondent No.1 : Mr.T.S.Mohammed Mohideen,
Additional Government Pleader
For Respondent No.2 : Mr.Pala.Ramasamy
(In all Writ Petitions)

COMMON ORDER

These writ petitions have been filed by the petitioners, seeking to direct the second respondent to sanction the educational loan to the sons of the petitioners.



2. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side.

3. It is the case of the petitioners that they approached the respondent Bank seeking educational loan to their sons. But the respondent Bank refused even to issue loan application form to them. Thereafter, they sent representations to the respondents on 21.03.2017, seeking loan. Since there was no response from the second respondent on the said representations, they are before this Court.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the second respondent has submitted that the petitioners have not filed the required application form for sanctioning loan to them. He also submitted that as and when the petitioners file their loan applications in a proper format, the same will be considered, on merits.

5. Under such circumstances, this Court, without going into the merits of the matter, directs the petitioners to submit their proper loan application forms to the second respondent within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and on such filing, the second respondent is directed to consider the same and pass appropriate orders, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks, thereafter.

6. These writ petitions are disposed of as above. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CSII)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

To:

The District Collector,
Theni District.

+4ccs to Mr.S.c.Herold Singh, Advocate, SR.Nos.86663,86660,86664
and 86662

+3ccs to Mr.Pala Ramasamy, Advocate, SR.Nos.86966, 86967, 86965

+2 ccs to The Special Government Pleader, SR.Nos.87447 and 87306
rm

RL/11C/2P/GT/SAR4/29/11/2017