



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 03.02.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.SELVAM

and

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.KALAIYARASAN

W.P(MD)No.594 of 2017

WEB COPY

Jeyachandran

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State of Tamil Nadu rep. by its
Secretary to Government,
Home Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai - 9.

2.The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department,
Nungambakkam, Chennai-34.

3.The Director General of Police,
Beach Road, Chennai.

4.The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

5.The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Race Course Road,
Madurai.

6.The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

7.The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department,
Ramanathapuram, Ramanathapuram District.

8.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Rameswaram Sub Division,
Ramanathapuram District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of
Mandamus directing the respondents herein to forthwith take
appropriate steps to allow all the vehicle movements in the 4 car
streets of Sri Ramanathaswamy Temple situated at Rameswaram,
Ramanathapuram District for the welfare of aged pilgrims.

For Petitioner

: Mr.B.Thangamani

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

For Respondents

: Mr.M.Govindan

Special Government Pleader.

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by **A.SELVAM, J.**]

This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to direct the respondents to permit vehicles in four car streets of Sri Ramanathaswamy Temple, Rameswaram, Ramanathapuram District, by way of issuing a writ of mandamus.

2.It is seen from the records that on 04.01.2017, a representation has been given on the side of the petitioner, but the same has not been considered.

3.The learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents has represented that already a representation has been given and the sixth respondent viz., Superintendent of Police, Ramanathapuram District has rejected the same.

4.Considering the fact that a representation has been given on 04.01.2017 and the same has not been considered, this Court is inclined to pass the following order.

5.In fine, this writ petition is allowed in part without costs and the sixth respondent viz., Superintendent of Police, Ramanathapuram District is directed to look into the representation dated 04.01.2017 and dispose of the same within a period of one week.

Sd/-

Assistan Registrar(P&A)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Secretary to Government,
Home Department, Government of Tamil Nadu,
Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

2.The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department,
Nungambakkam, Chennai-34.

3.The Director General of Police,
Beach Road, Chennai.

<https://hdservices.tn.gov.in/hdservices>
4.The District Collector,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.



5.The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Race Course Road,
Madurai.

6.The Superintendent of Police,
Ramanathapuram District,
Ramanathapuram.

7.The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable Endowment Department,
Ramanathapuram,
Ramanathapuram District.

8.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Rameswaram Sub Division,
Ramanathapuram District.

+1cc to The Special Government Pleader, sr no.6418

+1cc to B.Thangamani, Advocate, sr no 6312

smn

ms/nsk/sar2/6.2.2017/3p.11c

ORDER MADE IN
W.P (MD) No.594 of 2017
03.02.2017