



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 23.03.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.SELVAM
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.AUTHINATHAN

W.P(MD)No.5048 of 2017

Vijayaraman .. Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Theni District,
Theni.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Palanichettipatti Police Station,
Theni.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for the issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, to call for the records relating to the impugned order passed by the second respondent in his proceedings Nil dated 21.03.2017 and quash the same as illegal and consequently directing the respondents to grant permission for conducting Adal Padal Kalai Nigalchi dated 30.03.2017 at Sri Arulmigu Muthalamman Temple, Koduvilarpatti Village, Theni District.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Ramesh Babu
For Respondents : Mr.K.Guru,
Additional Government Pleader.

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by A.SELVAM, J.]

This Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to call for records relating to the proceedings dated 21.03.2017 passed by the second respondent and quash the same, by way of issuing a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus.

2.Mr.K.Guru, learned Additional Government Pleader, has taken notice for the respondents.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has contended that for conducting Adal Padal programme in the place



mentioned in the petition, a representation has been given, but the second respondent has rejected the same by way of assigning a flimsy reason on the ground of law and order problem. Under the said circumstances, the proceedings of the second respondent is liable to be quashed.

WEB COPY

4.It is seen from the impugned proceedings that the second respondent has rejected the representation alleged to have been given by the petitioner on the ground of law and order problem. Under the said circumstances, this Court is inclined to pass the following order.

5.In fine, this Writ Petition is allowed in part without costs and the impugned proceedings, dated 21.03.2017 passed by the second respondent is quashed. However, the second respondent is directed to look into the representation alleged to have been given by the petitioner and pass orders on merits if permission is granted and if any untoward incident is happened, the petitioner is solely liable for all inconvenience and damages.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(RTI)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Superintendent of Police,
Theni District,
Theni.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Palanichettipatti Police Station,
Theni.

+1CC to M/S.S.Ramesh Babu, Advocate, SR.No. 16935

+1CC to the Special Government Pleader SR.No. 17602

ORDER MADE IN
W.P(MD)No.5048 of 2017
23.03.2017

ps

AM/MR/SAR 4/27.03.2017/2P/5C