

**BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT****DATED : 21.03.2017****CORAM**

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.S.SUNDAR**W.P. (MD) .Nos.4750 to 4752 of 2017**

C.Annarajan, S/o.Chennappan,
Foreman, Staff No:TS03670,
TNSTC (Madurai) Ltd., Virudhunagar Region,
Residing at:3-21, South Street, Sankaralingapuram Post,
Virudhunagar District. ..Petitioner in all the
petitions


Vs.

1. The Managing Director,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai)Ltd.,
Madurai.
2. The General Manager,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai)Ltd.,
Virudhunagar Region,
Virudhunagar. .. Respondents in all the
petitions

PRAYER in W.P. (MD)No.4750 of 2017: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to dispose of the petitioner's appeal, dated 21.12.2016 preferred by him as against the order passed by the 2nd respondent in Ref.No.Sattam/SA4/6578/5B/2013, dated 23.04.2014 in imposing the punishment of increment cut for a period of three months with cumulative effects upon the petitioner, within a time frame that may be fixed by this Court.

PRAYER in W.P. (MD)No.4751 of 2017: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to dispose of the petitioner's appeal, dated 21.12.2016 preferred by him as against the order passed by the 2nd respondent in Ref.No.Sattam/SA4/6953/5B/2013, dated 23.04.2014 in imposing the punishment of increment cut for a period of three months with cumulative effects upon the petitioner, within a time frame that may be fixed by this Court.

PRAYER in W.P. (MD)No.4752 of 2017: Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of



Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to dispose of the petitioner's appeal, dated 21.12.2016 preferred by him as against the order passed by the 2nd respondent in Ref.No.Sattam/SA4/9557/3A/2013, dated 23.04.2014 in imposing the punishment of fine of Rs.100/- upon the petitioner and treating as if the petitioner was absented from duty on 04.02.2014, within a time frame that may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.Rahul

For Respondent : Mr.A.P.Muthupandian,
Standing Counsel.

C O M M O N O R D E R

Writ petition in W.P.(MD)No.4750 of 2017 has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the first respondent to dispose of the petitioner's appeal, dated 21.12.2016 preferred by him as against the order passed by the second respondent in Ref.No.Sattam/SA4/6578/5B/2013, dated 23.04.2014, imposing the punishment of increment cut for a period of three months without cumulative effect upon the petitioner, within the stipulated time.

2. Writ petition in W.P.(MD)No.4751 of 2017 has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to dispose of the petitioner's appeal, dated 21.12.2016 preferred by him as against the order passed by the 2nd respondent in Ref.No.Sattam/SA4/6953/5B/2013, dated 23.04.2014, imposing the punishment of increment cut for a period of three months without cumulative effect upon the petitioner, within the stipulated time.

3. Writ petition in W.P.(MD)No.4752 of 2017 has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to dispose of the petitioner's appeal, dated 21.12.2016 preferred by him as against the order passed by the 2nd respondent in Ref.No.Sattam/SA4/9557/3A/2013, dated 23.04.2014, imposing the punishment of fine of Rs.100/- upon the petitioner and treating the petitioner as if he was absented from duty on 04.02.2014, within the stipulated time.

4. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

5. Mr.A.P.Muthupandian, learned Standing Counsel, takes notice of the petitions. By consent, the Writ petitions itself are taken up for final disposal.



6. Considering the limited scope of the request of the learned counsel for the Writ petitioner, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, this Court is inclined to issue the following direction:-

"The first respondent/Managing Director is directed to dispose of the appeal, dated 21.12.2016, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order."

7. Accordingly, the Writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Managing Director,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai)Ltd.,
Madurai.

2. The General Manager,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation (Madurai)Ltd.,
Virudhunagar.

+3cc to M/s.A.Rahul Advocate in SR. NO.16565 to 16567

pmu

js/JC/10.04.2017/3P-6C

COMMON ORDER MADE IN
W.P. (MD) .Nos.4750 to 4752 of 2017
21.03.2017