



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
DATED : 03.03.2017

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE S.VAIDYANATHAN

WEB COPY

W.P (MD) Nos.3797 of 2017
and
W.M.P (MD) No.3010 of 2017

The Administrator,
MKN Madarsha Trusts,
Adirampattinam,
Pattukottai Taluk,
Thanjavur District.

... Petitioner

vs.

1)The Director of Collegiate Education,
College Road,
Chennai.

2)The Joint Director of Collegiate Education,
Thanjavur Region,
Thanjavur.

...Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for the issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to Na.Ka.No.2378/A3/2016 dated 28.11.2016 issued by the 2nd respondent and quash the same and consequently direct the respondents to approve the appointment of S.R.Kowshikraj and A.R.Safanudeen as Record Clerk in Khadir Mohideen College, Adirampattinam, Thanjavur, with effect from 16.08.2016 with all consequential monetary and other service benefits.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.R.Swaminathan
For Respondents : Mr.K.P.Krishnadass
Government Advocate

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed, seeking to quash the order of the 2nd respondent passed in Na.Ka.No.2378/A3/2016 dated 28.11.2016, vide which, the 2nd respondent has returned the proposal sent by the Secretary of Khadir Mohideen College, Adirampattinam, Thanjavur, stating that the proposal should contain the proof to show that Khadir Mohideen College, Adirampattinam, Thanjavur, is a minority college; permission should be obtained from the Director of Collegiate Education, Chennai, mark statement and the seniority list of Record Clerks for direct recruitment. The petitioner has also sought for a



direction to the respondents to approve the appointments of S.R.Kowshikraj and A.R.Safanudeen as Record Clerks in Khadir Mohideen College, Adirampattinam, Thanjavur, with effect from 16.08.2016, with all service and monetary benefits.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader for the respondents 1 to 4.

3. Khadir Mohideen College, Adirampattinam, Thanjavur, which is an aided minority college, is run by the petitioner Trust. The said college appointed S.R.Kowshikraj and A.R.Safanudeen as Record Clerks in Khadir Mohideen College, Adirampattinam, Thanjavur, with effect from 16.08.2016 in the sanctioned vacancies. Thereafter, the college sent proposals dated 19.09.2016, seeking approval of the abovesaid appointments with effect from 16.08.2016 and the same were returned by the impugned order, for the reasons stated supra. The eligibility of S.R.Kowshikraj and A.R.Safanudeen to the post of Record Clerk is not in dispute.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the school is governed by the Tamil Nadu Recognized Private Schools (Regulation) Act, 1973 and the Rules 1974 framed thereunder. Section 19 stipulates the qualifications and the conditions of service of employees in private schools. Section 20 speaks about the conditions of appointment. In addition to that, the Tamil Nadu Minority Aided Schools (Recognition and Payment of Grant) Rules, 1977 also stipulates the rules in respect of Administration of Aided Minority School. Annexure - III of Rule 8 provides for the sanctioning and appointment of Library Clerk, Record Clerk and Laboratory and Library Assistants, Peons, Watchman, Waterman, Gardener, Sweeper and Scavenger in the Minority Aided Schools.

5. It is seen that the Government of Tamil Nadu vide G.O.Ms.212 dated 29.11.2001 imposed ban on appointment of different categories of post, excepting Police, Doctors and Teachers. The ban was lifted vide G.O.14 dated 07.02.2006 enabling the fulfillment of non-teaching staff. G.O.Ms.115 dated 30.05.2007, directed filling up of certain categories of non-teaching staff by appointment and the remaining categories of non-teaching staff to be outsourced. Vide G.O.Ms.No.189 dated 29.07.2009, the vacancies of Junior Assistant and Office Assistant are to be filled upon on a priority basis. Subsequently, G.O.203 dated 23.07.2010 mandated that certain categories of non-teaching staff like Junior Assistant, Librarian, Laboratory Assistant, Record Clerk and Office Assistant are to be approved from the date of appointment.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that once the post is sanctioned by the Director under Rule 15(1) of the Rules, sanction of grant ought to have been done as per Rule 11(2) of the Rules and there is no need to get prior permission from any authority to fill the vacancies that would arise in the sanctioned



post. Unless the State Government suitably amends the provisions of the Act and the Rules making it mandatory to obtain prior permission for filling up of those sanctioned non-teaching posts, the Government could not issue Government Orders.

6.1. This Court is in entire agreement with the said submission. Since there is no such provision in the Act and the Rules to seek prior permission, the 4th respondent could not rely on the Government Orders/Government Letters imposing condition seeking permission of the State Government or the Director or any authority to fill up the sanctioned posts for approving of the same for the purpose of grant and therefore, the impugned order refusing to approve of the non-teaching post for the purpose of grant, is issued in gross violation of the provisions of Sections 19 and 20 of the Act read with Rule 15 of the Rules.

6.2. The Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in **The Manager, Concordia High and Higher Secondary Schools V. Tmt.S.Christy and Others**, reported in **2013 Writ L.R. 691** held as under:

"4. In considering the contentions as put forth in the writ petition, learned single Judge pointed out to the judgment passed by this Court in W.A.Nos.93 and 94 of 2009 decided on 06.01.2010 that for any sanctioned post, no prior approval is necessary. In respect of proceedings noting the availability of posts, the learned single Judge pointed out that there is no necessity for prior approval. In any event, the appointment without getting approval could not be a ground for not considering the writ petitioner's plea"

6.3. A similar question arose for consideration in the case of **S.Rasheetha Banu V. State of Tamil Nadu, rep. by its Secretary to Government, Chennai and others**, reported in **(2012) 4 MLJ 198** wherein this Court has categorically held that "if a person is appointed in a sanctioned post in the Private Aided Minority School, the approval cannot be rejected for the purpose of grant on the ground that no prior permission was obtained before appointment". It is useful to extract paragraph 7 of the said order in this regard :

"7. The issue involved in this Writ Petition was already considered by a Division Bench of this Court in W.A.No.1263 of 2001, dated 22.1.2004. In the said Judgment, it is held that if a person is appointed in a sanctioned post, the approval of appointment cannot be rejected and if there is fall in strength and the post become surplus, after granting approval of the post, the said teacher along with post could be transferred/deployed to a needy School. The said Judgment of the Division Bench was followed in W.P.(MD)No.11353 of 2008, dated 11.9.2009.



As against the said order dated 11.9.2009, the department preferred W.A.(MD)No.703 of 2009. A Division Bench of this Court, by Judgment dated 1.2.2011, dismissed the said Writ Appeal."

7. The question of approval to the appointment of non-teaching staff in the sanctioned post after the introduction of G.O.Ms.No.115 and G.O.203 came to be considered by this Court and the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court quashed G.O.Ms.115 dated 30.05.2007 and G.O.203 dated 23.07.2010 vide order dated 15.03.2016 passed in W.P.(MD) Nos.11481 of 2008, etc. batch. It will be appropriate to extract the operative portion of the order as under:-

"38. In the result, for the details reasons mentioned above,

(i) All these writ petitions are allowed.

(ii) Impugned G.Os., namely, G.O.Ms.No.115, School Education Department, dated 30.05.2007 and G.O.Ms.No.203, School Education Department, dated 23.07.2010 and Government Letter No.8884/D1/2011-2, dated 09.07.2012, are quashed.

(iii) The impugned orders of the DEOs/DEEOs refusing to approve of the appointments of various non-teaching posts in these writ petitions are set aside and the official respondents are directed to approve of those appointments of the non-teaching staff in the Private Aided Schools concerned in these writ petitions and to sanction grant."

8. In view of the above stated position and also in the light of the judgment of this Court in W.P.(MD) Nos.11481 of 2008, etc. batch (stated supra), this Court is of the view that the impugned order is liable to be set aside.

9. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and the 2nd respondent is directed to accord approval to the appointments of S.R.Kowshikraj and A.R.Safanudeen as Record Clerks in Khadir Mohideen College, Adirampattinam, Thanjavur, with effect from 16.08.2016, with all monetary and other service benefits, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

In the result, this Writ Petition is allowed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar[Records]

/True copy/



To

1) The Director of Collegiate Education,
College Road,
Chennai.

WEB COPY

2) The Joint Director of Collegiate Education,
Thanjavur Region,
Thanjavur.

+1cc to M/S.G.R.Swaminathan, Advocate, SR.12366

+1cc to M/S.Special Government Pleader, SR.12604

W.P (MD) Nos.3797 of 2017
03.03.2017

KK-SVMMS-21.03.2017-5p-5c