



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 11.04.2017

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.S.SIVAGNANAM
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.VELMURUGAN

W.P. (MD) No.13801 of 2016
and
W.M.P. (MD) Nos.10295 & 10296 of 2016

M.P.Shaheela Devi

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The Principal District Judge
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputhur

2.V.Nagaveni
Senior Bailiff
Sub Court
Virudhunagar

3.M.Mallikarani
District Munsif-cum
Judicial Magistrate
Sathur

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a writ of certiorarified mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the impugned proceedings of the first respondent in D.No.4670/A1/2016, dated 20.07.2016 and quash the same insofar as Sl.Nos.14 and 15 are concerned and further direct the first respondent to promote the petitioner as Assistant.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Subramanian

For Respondents : Mr.G.Thalamutharasu for R1
No appearance for R2 & R3

O R D E R

(Order of the Court by T.S.SIVAGNANAM, J.,)

Heard Mr.R.Subramanian, learned counsel appearing for the
petitioner and Mr.G.Thalamutharasu, learned counsel appearing for
the first respondent and carefully perused the materials placed on
record.



2. Though the respondents 2 and 3 were served notice, they have not chosen to appear either in person or through their counsel.

3. The petitioner is aggrieved by a Circular issued by the first respondent to all the Judicial Officers in her District calling for service register and service particulars of fifteen employees, who are working as Grade-II Bench Clerk, Grade-III Bench Clerk, Head Clerk, Assistant, Typist, Junior Assistant and Senior Bailiff.

4. The petitioner's case is that she is entitled to be promoted before the respondents 2 and 3.

5. We are of the view that the prayer sought for in this writ petition is thoroughly pre-matured. All that the first respondent has done is only called for service particulars of certain employees, who are working in her District. If the petitioner is aggrieved by any further orders of the first respondent, it is always open to her to raise her objections at the appropriate time. However, since the petitioner has already given a representation on 22.07.2016, the same shall be considered by the first respondent, if not already considered, at the time of effecting promotion.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the above observations. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CSIII)

/True Copy/

Sub-Assistant Registrar

TO

1.The Principal District Judge
Virudhunagar District at
Srivilliputhur

+1 cc to M/S.R.SUBRAMANIAN, ADVOCATE, SR NO.51609

krk/skm

MAS/KP/SAR3:20.04.2017:2P-3C

W.P. (MD) No.13801 of 2016
and

W.M.P. (MD) Nos.10295 & 10296 of 2016
11.04.2017