



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 06.04.2017

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE P.N.PRAKASH

W.P. (MD) No.13147 of 2016

and

W.M.P. (MD) .Nos.9918 & 9919 of 2016

R.John Thomas

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The State represented by,
The Director General of Police, Chennai.

2.The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Natham Road, Madurai.

3.The Superintendent of Police,
Madurai District, Madurai.

4.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thirupparankundram Sub-division,
Madurai District.

5.Mr.M.Rama Samy,
The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thirupparankundram Sub-Division,
Madurai District,

6.The Inspector of Police,
Austinpatti Police Station,
Madurai District.

7.Joel Jesudasan .. Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus by directing the 5th respondent not to interfere in to the civil subject matter in connection with the construction of community hall at Survey No. 78/1A Plot No. 1 2 3 Sri Ragavendra Nagar, Vedarpuliyangulam Village, Thirupparakundram, Madurai District, under the guise of holding an enquiry in to the complaint dated 07.06.2016 lodged by the 7th respondent and further direct him not harass me.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Anand

For Respondents 1to6 : Mr.T.S.Mohammed Mohideen,

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

Additional Government Pleader

For Respondent 7 : S.Hameed Ismail, P.Sivachandran

**O R D E R**

It appears that this writ petition was sent along with CrI.O.P.(MD) No.10980/2016 before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, from where, a report dated 18.11.2016 has been received along with a covering letter dated 03.11.2016, which reads as follows:

"After getting valuation report from the Executive Engineer, PWD, Buildings (C&M) Division, Madurai -2 based on the centage charges received from both the parties, the reports are issued to both the parties.

After receipt of report, the petitioner not turned out for negotiation. Hence, mediation could not succeed.

Hence, this case is referred back to the Hon'ble High Court."

2.From the report, it is seen that the Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Madurai, has valuated the building and has submitted a report. Copies of which have also been furnished to both the parties. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the writ petition. He has also made an endorsement to that effect.

3.In view of the endorsement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner and the third respondent to work out their remedies in the manner known to law. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CS-I)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Director General of Police, Chennai.
 2. The Inspector General of Police,
South Zone, Natham Road, Madurai.
 3. The Superintendent of Police, Madurai District, Madurai.
 4. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Thirupparankundram Sub-division, Madurai District.
 5. The Inspector of Police,
Austinpatti Police Station, Madurai District.
- +1 cc to Mr.S.Hameed Ismail, Advocate, SR.No.51135
+1 cc to Special Government Pleader, SR.No. 50918

**W.P. (MD) No.13147 of 2016
and W.M.P. (MD) .Nos.9918 & 9919 of 2016**

06.04.2017