



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.03.2019

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.MAHADEVAN

Rev.Applc.(MD) .No.13 of 2016

and

W.M.P.(MD)No.4710 of 2016

in

W.P(MD)No.9416 of 2015

1.The District Collector,
Theni District.
Theni.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Uthamapalayam,
Theni District,
Theni.

3.The Tahsildar,
Bodinayakanur Taluk Office,
Theni District, Theni.

... Petitioners/Respondents

vs.

S.Pugalendi

... Respondent /Petitioner

Prayer: Review Application filed under Order 47 Rules 1 and 2 C.P.C. read with Section 114 C.P.C. against the order dated 30.06.2015 made in W.P.(MD)No.9416 of 2015.


Prayer in WP(MD)No. 9416/ 2015 :

Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the 3rd respondent to fix and receive the land value cost and issue the patta on the name of the petitioner with regard to land in B.Meenakshipuram village survey NO. 249/4 an extent of 0.24.5 hectare is situated at Bodinayakanur Taluk , Theni District.

For Petitioners : Mr.C.M.Mari Chellaiah Prabhu
Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

<https://hcservices.courts.gov.in/hcservices/> Application is filed against the order dated 30.06.2015 made in W.P.(MD)No.9416 of 2015.



2. Heard the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the petitioners and perused the materials available on record.

3. It is to be borne in mind that the scope under Order 47 Rule 1 of the Civil Procedure Code is limited under the guise of Review Application. The Review Applicant cannot be allowed to re-argue the matter, which was decided on merits. The Review Application can be entertained only if there is an error apparent on the face of the record warranting interference.

4. In the case on hand, the time as sought for by the petitioners had been granted by this Court and hence, no grounds are made out for reviewing the order of this Court made in W.P.(MD) No.9416 of 2015, dated 30.06.2015.

5. For the reasons stated above, I do not find any error apparent on the face of the record warranting interference in the Review Application. The Review Application is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed.

6. Accordingly, the Review Application is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

/ True Copy /

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To

1.The District Collector,
Theni District.
Theni.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Uthamapalayam,
Theni District, Theni.

3.The Tahsildar,
Bodinayakanur Taluk Office,
Theni District, Theni.

Rev.Aplc.(MD).No.13 of 2016 and
W.M.P.(MD)No.4710 of 2016 in
W.P(MD)No.9416 of 2015
27.03.2019